- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 off-duty employment. Is off-duty employment
- 3 employment with actually a private employer that is
- 4 compensated through the City?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And do you know whether or not there is
- 7 any limit on how much off-duty employment you're
- 8 allowed to perform?
- 9 A I believe if it's your days off, you can
- 10 work as many hours as you want. I believe when
- 11 you're working, I believe it's four hours past your
- 12 tour of duty.
- 13 Q Do you know whether there is any limit as
- 14 to how much off-duty employment you're allowed to
- 15 perform in any given week?
- 16 A I don't know the numbers now.
- 17 Q Have you ever estimated how much pecuniary
- 18 loss you've suffered as a result of not being
- 19 assigned to off-duty employment?
- 20 A I have looked into it. I don't have the
- 21 exact numbers with me.
- 22 Q Do you have estimates?
- 23 A No. I do not.
- 24 Q Let's go back to the departmental
- 25 overtime. Do you have estimates as to how much was

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 lost as a result of not receiving departmental
- 3 overtime assignments?
- 4 A Say that again. That didn't make sense to
- 5 me. I'm sorry.
- 6 Q Okay. Sure. Can you estimate what your
- 7 pecuniary loss has been as a result of not receiving
- 8 departmental overtime assignments?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q If you could just turn the page to the
- 11 next subparagraph D.
- 12 (Off the Record)
- 13 Q Officer Alali, do you have the top of the
- 14 page, Page 4?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q It says, "Assigned for months at a time as
- 17 a dispatcher in the police department's
- 18 communications room."
- 19 Is that the circumstance you
- 20 testified to earlier this afternoon?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And can you estimate how many months you
- 23 were assigned to be dispatcher?
- 24 A Assigned for approximately six months and
- 25 I was only released from that dispatcher assignment

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 after the EEOC was filed and served upon the
- 3 department. The very next day I was relieved of the
- 4 dispatcher assignment.
- 5 Q So would it be correct to say that you
- 6 were assigned to be a dispatcher for the six months
- 7 preceding your filing the EEOC complaint, is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And do you know whatever happened to that
- 11 civilian complaint that was filed by the FedEx
- 12 driver?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What happened to it?
- 15 A Lieutenant Fortunato told me that that
- 16 complaint was unfounded.
- 17 Q Do you know whether or not the FedEx
- 18 driver started a lawsuit against the City of New
- 19 Rochelle?
- 20 A Not to my knowledge.
- 21 Q Do you know whether or not he filed a
- 22 notice of claim against the City of New Rochelle?
- A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Do you know whether or not he filed a
- 25 lawsuit against you?

Page 75 ARAZ ALALI 1 2 Not to my knowledge. Α Okay. Do you know what happened to the 3 Q. charges that you filed against him? 4 I was told by Edward Hayes that all of the 5 criminal charges were dropped because the police 6 commissioner went to the ADA's office and requested 7 them to be dropped, as well as all of the moving 8 violations were also dropped by the request of the 9 10 police commissioner. Did you ever discuss that subject with the 11 0 police commissioner? 12 Α No. 13 Do you know whether or not it's true that 14 the commissioner made that request to the district 1.5 attorney's office? 16 I inquired into the district attorney's 17 office as well, and they said that all the charges 1.8 19 had been dropped. Did they discuss with you the substance of 20 any communications that they had with the 21 commissioner? 22 23 Α No. Did the district attorney's office advise 24 O

VERITEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING COMPANY

you as to why the charges were withdrawn?

25

		Page	76					
1	ARAZ ALALI							
2	A No.							
3	Q Did you ask?							
4	A I don't presently recall.							
5	Q Do you recall who in the district							
6	attorney's office you spoke to?							
7	A I don't presently recall. Also, I was							
8	told by Edward Hayes that the charges were dropped							
9	as well as the moving violations.							
10	Q So you were told by Edward Hayes and by							
11	the district attorney's office?							
12	A Yes.							
13	Q And did Edward Hayes tell you why the							
14	charges were dropped?							
15	A I don't presently recall.							
16	Q Who told you that the commissioner had							
17	contacted the district attorney's office?							
18	A I believe it to be Edward Hayes.							
19	Q Did he tell you how he knew that							
20	information?							
21	A I don't presently recall.							
22	Q Do you recall when you had this							
23	conversation with Edward Hayes?							
24	A During the time I was assigned during							
2.5	the approximately six months I was assigned as a							

Page 77 ARAZ ALALI 1 dispatcher. I don't know exactly when. 2 Can you estimate how -- withdrawn. 3 0 Can you estimate on how many 4 occasions each year a police officer is assigned to 5 work as a dispatcher? 6 How many times a year? 7 Α Each year does that happen? 0 8 I would have no idea. All I know is that Α 9 it is a civilian function and I don't remember any 10 police officer doing it for an extensive period of 11 12 time such as half a year. Am I correct that you do recall that other 13 police officers have been assigned for some period 1.4 of time to do that? 15 Some very short periods of time. Α 16

- 17 Q What's the longest period of time that you
- 18 can recall any police officer assigned to be a
- 19 dispatcher?
- 20 A I would say -- I don't know who, but when
- 21 a police officer is injured, for the period of time
- they're injured or impaired, that would probably be
- 23 a longer period of time than when a civilian member
- 24 calls in sick.
- 25 Q Let's take a look at subparagraph E where

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 it indicates, "Forced to work walking posts during a
- 3 midnight to 8:00 a.m. tour of duty."
- 4 When were you assigned to a walking
- 5 post on the 8:00 a.m. -- on the midnight to
- 6 8:00 a.m. tour?
- 7 A Again, when I was assigned to the midnight
- 8 tour, I don't presently recall the year. However, I
- 9 was assigned for months on that tour to walk a foot
- 10 post.
- 11 Q Where was that foot post?
- 12 A Wherever they deemed it to be that night.
- 13 Q So would that foot post change from day to
- 14 day?
- 15 A I don't know about day to day, but it was
- 16 different areas that I was walking. I don't know if
- 17 it was day to day or week to week.
- 18 O But the location was variable?
- 19 A Yes, basically variable, yeah. I remember
- 20 one time that it was on Union Avenue on the corner
- 21 when it was snowing out. That's an area that's not
- 22 heavily populated at night. It's not on the police
- 23 post. There are some foot posts for the day tours,
- 24 not on the midnights. But that's not in the police
- 25 post book at all. Kind of an off the track area.

Page 79 ARAZ ALALI 1 I was told to stand on the corner of 2 Union Avenue while it was snowing out and not to 3 move from the corner. For no purpose. 4 Who ordered you to do that? 5 0 That was Sergeant Jones and Sergeant Α 6 7 Gianatti. And when did that occur? 0 8 I don't have the dates, but when I was 9 Α assigned to the midnight tour. 10 What years were you assigned to the 11 O midnight tour? 12 I don't presently recall. It was early on 13 14 in my career. Were you assigned to the midnight tour 15 0 during the years that you received standard 16 evaluations? 17 I don't presently recall. 18 How long did you work the midnight tour? 19 0 I don't presently recall, but I know the 20 A incident that changed me. Walking the midnight tour 21 was the complaint -- supervisor complaint generated 22 by Sergeant Jones, which is a false complaint, 23 stating that I did not give him the reason for a car 24 25 stop. And then the second part of that complaint

- 2 was the fact that I did not hand the complaint to
- 3 him in a timely fashion. That complaint was
- 4 forwarded to Captain Gazzola. Both parts of that
- 5 complaint were false. Captain Gazzola then
- 6 rescinded the complaint. Took it -- basically took
- 7 it back. Out and out perjury by Sergeant Jones on
- 8 that complaint.
- 9 That was the incident that put me
- 10 onto the eight to four tour shortly after that.
- 11 Q Whose decision was it to move you from the
- 12 midnight tour to the eight to four, as far as you
- 13 know?
- 14 A I'm not sure.
- 15 Q And do I understand correctly that Captain
- 16 Gazzola in effect took your side in this complaint
- 17 rather than Sergeant Jones' side?
- 18 A Not about taking sides, he had no choice.
- 19 I had PBA representative Neal Reynolds there at that
- 20 time. I had handed Neal Reynolds the reason why I
- 21 did the car stop as per Sergeant Jones' request, I
- 22 did that on my own time. I met him in the parking
- lot at midnight when I was off, days before it was
- 24 due.
- 25 Because I knew that Sergeant Jones

- 2 making me walk these foot posts in the snow, if I
- 3 personally handed it to him, there was a good
- 4 likelihood that he would say that I did not hand it
- 5 to him.
- 6 He also stated in part of his
- 7 complaint that I did not call out the car stop,
- 8 which I had. It was all recorded.
- 9 So I handed that piece of paper of
- 10 the reasons why. It was ridiculous that I have to
- 11 even state why I did the car stop to Sergeant
- 12 Jones -- excuse me, to Neal Reynolds to then give it
- 13 to Sergeant Jones. Even after that, Sergeant Jones
- 14 had written me up for not handing that in on a
- 15 timely fashion and not calling out the car stop.
- I went to the communications room,
- 17 which is run by Detective Deandrea, and gave him the
- 18 date of the car stop, and he was easily able to pull
- 19 that up. I told him to show that to Captain
- 20 Gazzola. So it was not about taking sides.
- 21 O The evidence was there.
- 22 A The evidence was clear and compelling.
- 23 Q Did you ever learn whether or not Sergeant
- Jones knew you were of Middle Eastern descent?
- 25 A Yes.

Page 82 1 ARAZ ALALI 2 0 When did you learn that? 3 Α Since my employment there. By my name. He was one of the sergeants that had called me --4 5 now I'm recalling that we're talking about Sergeant Jones -- had called me Ali. Would carry me on the 6 roll call as Ali. I told him not to address me as 7 My name is Mr. Alali. I believe in the 8 9 complaint to Captain Gazzola he referred to me as 10 So, yes, those are the indicators that would 11 lead me to believe that he knew I was of Middle 12 Eastern descent. 13 And other than what you've already 0 14 testified to, do you have any other reason to believe that he was aware of the fact that you are 15 of Middle Eastern descent? 16 17 Α The fact that he would force me to walk 18 these posts in the rain and snow at midnight, when 19 it's routinely not done at all, except as punishment. 20 21 MR. LOVETT: Give me one second. 22 MR. MEISELS: Sure. (Off the Record) 23 24 0 Officer Alali, when you first joined the New Rochelle Police Department, what tour were you 25

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 assigned to?
- 3 A I believe it was four to 12. When you
- 4 first join you have to rotate the tours. I believe
- 5 it was four to 12.
- 6 Q And do you recall when that changed?
- 7 A No. There is a period of time that they
- 8 would rotate you to the next tour. You have to be
- 9 exposed to all three tours. I don't presently
- 10 recall the date.
- 11 O Do you recall when you were assigned for
- 12 the first time to midnight?
- 13 A No, I do not.
- 14 O Do you recall when you were assigned to a
- 15 different tour other than midnights?
- 16 A I don't presently recall. I just know
- 17 that now I'm currently on the eight to four tour. I
- 18 don't know the dates that it shifted from one tour
- 19 to the other.
- 20 O Do you recall how long you were assigned
- 21 to the midnight tour?
- 22 A I don't presently recall.
- 23 Q And during that period of time, do you
- 24 recall how often you were assigned to a foot post?
- 25 A Very frequently. For months.

ARAZ ALALI

- 2 Q Now, was it snowing all the time you were
- 3 assigned to a foot patrol?
- 4 A No.

1

- 5 Q But it snowed on occasion when you were
- 6 assigned to a foot patrol?
- 7 A It snowed and rained, yes.
- 8 Q Is it your understanding that prior to
- 9 your being assigned to a foot patrol on the midnight
- 10 tour, that no other officer had ever been assigned
- 11 to a foot patrol on the midnight tour?
- 12 A That was exclusively set as punishment and
- 13 it would be for a few nights as a punishment. There
- 14 was no walking posts, so to speak, on that tour, on
- 15 the midnight tour.
- 16 Q So it's correct that you were the -- as
- 17 far as you know, the first police officer to be
- 18 assigned to a walking tour -- withdrawn.
- 19 Is it your understanding that you
- 20 were the first police officer to be assigned to a
- 21 walking post on the midnight tour?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q No. Okay. So is it your understanding
- 24 that the other police officers had been assigned a
- 25 walking post on the midnight tour before you were

ARAZ ALALI

- 2 assigned to a walking post on the midnight tour?
- 3 A For punishment purposes only. It's not a
- 4 routine function of the midnight tour to walk a foot
- 5 post.

1.

- 6 Were those other officers of
- 7 Middle Eastern descent?
- 8 A I believe I'm the only Middle Eastern
- 9 police officer in the department.
- 11 officers have been assigned to a walking post on the
- 12 midnight tour after you transferred from that tour?
- 13 A I don't know.
- 14 Q Can you identify which other police
- 15 officers were assigned to a walking post on the
- 16 midnight tour before you were assigned to the
- 17 walking post on the midnight tour?
- 18 A I don't presently recall.
- 19 Q You indicated that the assignment you
- 20 believe was for punishment, is that right?
- 21 A I know it to be for punishment.
- 22 Q Okay. Explain what the basis is for your
- 23 knowledge that it was punishment.
- 24 A The sergeants themselves tell you, let me
- 25 say initially there was no foot posts on midnight,

- ARAZ ALALI
- 2 on the day-to-day operation there are no foot posts
- 3 on midnight. Sergeants would call you in and tell
- 4 you you were being punished and walking a tour of
- 5 duty on the midnight tour, and, you know, they would
- 6 tell you that it's for punishment purposes.
- 7 Q Which sergeant told you that?
- 8 A Sergeant Jones, Sergeant Gianatti.
- 9 Q And did they tell you what it was
- 10 punishment for?
- 11 A No.

1

- 12 Q Did you ask?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And what did they say?
- 15 A They laughed.
- 16 Q Were both Sergeant Jones and Sergeant
- 17 Gianatti your supervisors on the midnight tour?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And who made the decision, as far as you
- 20 know, to have you walk the -- withdrawn.
- 21 Who made the decision, as far as you
- 22 know, to have you have a walking assignment on the
- 23 midnight tour?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q Do you have any reason to believe that you

- 2 received that assignment based upon being a person
- 3 of Middle Eastern descent?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q What is the basis for your belief that
- 6 this had something to do with your heritage?
- 7 A Due to the fact, again, when I was on that
- 8 tour I had the highest performance numbers on that
- 9 tour. And no reason was given as to why I was
- 10 walking that tour.
- 11 O Now, when you indicate that there is no
- 12 walking post assigned on the midnight tour -- is
- 13 that correct, there is none?
- 14 A There is none. No, that's not a function
- 15 of the tour, to have a walking post, correct.
- 16 O And what's the basis for your belief that
- 17 there is not a function of the midnight tour to have
- 18 a walking post?
- 19 A The fact that when I was there, no one
- 20 else was walking. It was -- people were assigned in
- 21 cars. The fact that when they put you on a walking
- 22 post, as I indicated, they said it was for
- 23 punishment. So I would not believe that a
- 24 punishment would be a routine assignment.
- 25 Q Is it written anyplace as to which tours

- 2 have walking posts and which ones don't?
- 3 A I believe that roll calls that are
- 4 administered every day by the desk officer indicate
- 5 the walking posts, if there are to have one. Such
- 6 as midnights, it was -- there was no indication of
- 7 that. Periodically on the eight to four tours there
- 8 is. Sorry. The answer to your question, on the
- 9 roll call assignments.
- 10 Q Is that something that's in writing?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And do I understand you correctly that on
- 13 the roll call for the midnight tour, there was
- 14 nothing written about there being a walking post?
- 15 A Except me.
- 16 Q And do you know whether or not there was
- 17 anything written about a walking post before you
- 18 were assigned the midnight tour?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Do you know whether or not there is
- 21 anything written about a walking post after you were
- 22 transferred out of the midnight tour?
- 23 A No. Currently there is no one walking on
- 24 the midnight tour.
- 25 Q And currently there is no one walking on

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 the midnight tour?
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q Can you estimate how long it's been since
- 5 you've been on the midnight tour?
- 6 A It would be bad guess.
- 7 MR. LOVETT: Don't guess.
- 8 A I don't know.
- 9 Q Let's go on to subparagraph F. Indicates,
- 10 "Forbidden for substantial periods of time to
- 11 operate a police vehicle."
- Does that relate to the circumstance
- 13 you've already testified to about the civilian
- 14 complaint from the FedEx driver, or does it relate
- 15 to something else?
- 16 A That is -- for approximately the six month
- 17 period of time that I stated earlier.
- 18 Q Okay. Is that the same period of time
- 19 that you were assigned to be a dispatcher?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Does that relate to the civilian complaint
- 22 that was filed by the FedEx driver?
- 23 A It depends what side of the story you get.
- 24 If you get it from Fortunato or you get it from
- 25 Commissioner Carroll. Conflicting stories on that.

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 Q Let's start with Commissioner Carroll.
- 3 What did he tell you?
- 4 A Stated it is because of a departmental
- 5 investigation.
- 6 O And what did Lieutenant Fortunato tell
- 7 you?
- 8 A He did not have knowledge that it was
- 9 because of a departmental investigation.
- 10 Q And did Commissioner Carroll tell you
- 11 anything about it, other than what you already
- 12 testified to?
- 13 A No. Captain Gazzola also stated it was
- 14 because of the departmental investigation.
- 15 Q And did Captain Gazzola tell you anything
- 16 else about it, other than what you just testified
- 17 to?
- 18 A Well, besides issuing a standing order of
- 19 performing functions that are listed in this
- 20 complaint.
- 21 Q Did Lieutenant Fortunato tell you
- 22 anything, other than what you've already testified
- 23 to, about this -- about your being barred from
- 24 operating a police vehicle?
- 25 A No.

ARAZ ALALI

- 3 dispatcher need a police vehicle?
- 4 A No.

1

- 5 Q Am I correct that you were barred from
- 6 operating a police vehicle for the same period of
- 7 time you were a dispatcher?
- 8 A Yes. Also, I'd like to add that I was not
- 9 allowed to take a police vehicle on my meal period
- 10 as well, and I had to be picked up and dropped off
- 11 and be picked up and dropped off.
- 12 And also a situation arose where
- 13 Edward Hayes and I spoke to Captain Gazzola in
- 14 regards to if I used my personal vehicle and there
- 15 was an accident, who would be liable, since I was
- 16 barred from using any police vehicles. If I use my
- 17 personal vehicle, I have the insurance under my
- 18 name. Although I was working as a police officer on
- 19 a tour of duty, I was barred from me using a police
- 20 vehicle even to get a sandwich.
- 21 Q So during that time that you were barred
- from using the police vehicle, did you use your own
- 23 personal vehicle?
- 24 A Actually, no. I had some officers pick me
- 25 up and drop me off and I walked to a close-by deli.

Page 92 ARAZ ALALI 1 Is there a reason you didn't use your 2 0 personal vehicle? 3 Because of liability reasons. 4 Is that liability any different from any 5 O other time that you drive your own car? 6 I'm working on a tour of duty in 7 Α Yes. uniform as a police officer driving a private 8 civilian vehicle. I think that's clearly different 9 than when I'm off duty driving my vehicle. I'm 10 doing it in the scope of my employment is the 11 difference. 12 Let's take a look at subparagraph G. 13 Withdrawn. Let me go back. 14 Did anyone ever tell you why you were 15 barred from using a police vehicle even when you 16 were taking a break or going out for a meal period? 17 18 Α Yes. Who told you that? 19 0 Captain Gazzola, Lieutenant Debara, 20 Α Sergeant Wilson, Sergeant Brady, Sergeant Morel, 2122 Sergeant Tierney. Let's start with Sergeant Tierney. 23 did she tell you? 24 She stated that she received an e-mail 25 Α

- 2 from Captain Gazzola stating that I was to perform
- 3 the dispatcher function, I was to be used for
- 4 suicidal prisoners, not to work the street. Not to
- 5 have any interaction with the public. To do the
- 6 jail escorts and at no time to operate a police
- 7 vehicle.
- 8 Q Did you ever see a copy of the e-mail?
- 9 A I saw it -- she had it on the screen. I
- 10 do not have a photocopy of it. It was from Captain
- 11 Gazzola to her.
- 12 Q Did you ever ask Captain Gazzola why he
- 13 sent that e-mail?
- 14 A I asked Captain Gazzola, with Edward
- 15 Hayes, why there was a standing order to perform
- 16 those functions. He stated there was a departmental
- 17 investigation.
- 18 Q Did you ever ask Captain Gazzola why you
- 19 were prohibited from operating a police vehicle?
- 20 A Yes. I asked him for each and every one
- 21 of the items such as dispatcher, jail escort,
- 22 suicidal prisoner watch, dispatcher, and excluded
- 23 from operating a police department vehicle, he
- 24 stated it was because of a departmental
- 25 investigation.

- 2 Q So, now, when you transported prisoners to
- 3 the county jail, how did you get there?
- 4 A When there are prisoners that go to the
- 5 county jail, it's a two-man operation.
- 6 Q Right.
- 7 A And whoever the other officer that was
- 8 going, generally a junior officer, they would drive
- 9 the vehicle.
- 10 Q You never drove the vehicle when you took
- 11 prisoners to the jail?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Moving on to subparagraph G where it
- 14 states, "Forbidden for substantial periods of time
- 15 to interact with members of the public while on
- 16 duty."
- Does that reference the same six
- 18 months that you were assigned to be a dispatcher?
- 19 A Yes, dispatcher also with the other
- 20 functions, jail escort, suicidal prisoners watch.
- 21 Not just dispatcher. I was doing these other
- 22 degrading functions.
- Q What is the function of the dispatcher,
- 24 what do you do?
- 25 A Dispatcher is, as we speak now, is being

- 2 manned by civilians on all tours. As we sit here
- 3 right now, it's a civilian position in nature. I
- 4 received no training for being dispatch. Basically
- 5 giving cops assignments on where to go. Also, I
- 6 believe there is a 911 communication system
- 7 answering calls and inputting calls into the
- 8 computer so the cops can get the information they
- 9 need to get to their location safety. I had no
- 10 training on that whatsoever. Again, it's -- all
- 11 these functions such as dispatcher are civilian in
- 12 nature.
- 13 Q When you were the dispatcher, what did you
- 14 do?
- 15 A I was assisted by another civilian member
- 16 of dispatcher how to -- basically all I did was read
- 17 the screen and press a button and tell the cops
- 18 where to go. I didn't input it. I didn't know how
- 19 to input it. I didn't know how to do anything of
- 20 that. They just showed me a crash course on just
- 21 look at the screen, press the button and talk into
- 22 the microphone.
- 23 Q So your communication was with other
- 24 police officers?
- 25 A Yes.

- 2 Q Did you ever communicate with members of
- 3 the public while you were dispatcher?
- 4 A No, I was barred from answering the phone.
- 5 Q Aside from the time that you were assigned
- 6 to be a dispatcher, have you ever been barred from
- 7 interacting with members of the public while you
- 8 were on duty?
- 9 A I don't presently recall.
- 10 Q Let's move down to subparagraph I where it
- 11 says, "Subjected repeatedly to investigations of
- 12 supposed wrongdoing under circumstances where no
- 13 wrongdoing occurred and defendant knew that no
- 14 wrongdoing had occurred." Do you see that?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Could you explain what investigations
- 17 you're referencing in that paragraph?
- 18 A There were many. The most recent
- 19 investigation was when I was put in the radio room
- 20 as a civilian, reduced to a civilian dispatcher, of
- 21 the wrongful arrest on the FedEx driver, when in
- 22 fact the captain, police commissioner, knew that
- 23 there was absolutely no violation of the law on my
- 24 part. The defendant violated the law. I had
- 25 absolutely done nothing wrong.

1		ARAZ	ALALI

- 2 However, they investigated that for
- 3 over six months. Like I stated to you, Lieutenant
- 4 Fortunato stated that the complaint of the FedEx
- 5 driver had no merit and it was unfounded.
- The other instance I gave you was of
- 7 Sergeant Jones of what I stated earlier about not
- 8 calling out a traffic stop and not handing in a
- 9 reason of why I conducted a traffic stop. Captain
- 10 knew of that incident and took back the complaint.
- 11 And I remember when I asked the
- 12 Captain if I had -- there was an out-and-out lie,
- 13 that if Sergeant Jones had perjured himself and put
- 14 it on paper, what would be the consequences. He
- 15 didn't answer. I stated what happened if I had
- 16 perjured myself. Kind of smiled at that, didn't
- 17 give an answer.
- 18 There was other investigations but
- 19 these are the investigations that we're talking
- 20 about right now.
- 21 Q You mentioned the investigation relating
- 22 to the FedEx driver and you mentioned the one
- 23 concerning Sergeant Jones' allegations about failure
- 24 to call out a stop.
- A And failure to hand him the reason for the

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 stop in writing in a timely manner, which was done.
- 3 Q These are two separate investigations, am
- 4 I correct?
- 5 A Yeah. I believe when he wrote them up
- 6 they were two separate charges, Captain Gazzola.
- 7 Q So Sergeant Jones basically submitted two
- 8 separate charges related to the same incident?
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q And that's an entirely separate incident
- 11 from the incident with the dispatcher, is that
- 12 right?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Now, other than those two incidents, do
- 15 you know of any other investigations that the
- 16 department conducted where you believed that the
- 17 defendants knew that you hadn't done anything wrong?
- 18 A There is numerous civilian complaints that
- 19 they knew that there was no wrongdoing on my part,
- 20 however, they had conducted investigations. On one
- 21 occasion, I believe, Lieutenant Fortunato had given
- 22 me a verbal reprimand on a civilian complaint which
- 23 had absolutely no merit and was false. And I'm sure
- there are others, I just can't presently recall.
- 25 Q As you sit here today, can you identify

- 2 any other particular investigations, other than the
- 3 two you've already mentioned?
- 4 A Other than the three? Lieutenant
- 5 Fortunato too.
- 6 Q What was that verbal reprimand for?
- 7 A He initially had modified it. It was a
- 8 reprimand on using the P.A. system. He stated it
- 9 was to be used for emergencies only. Situation was
- 10 an emergency in nature. He rescinded that and
- 11 stated that he -- can't exactly remember the
- 12 language of his complaint but basically to be nicer
- 13 to the public. Something to that effect.
- 14 O When did that occur?
- 15 A I don't presently recall.
- 16 Q Do you remember what year it occurred?
- 17 A I don't presently recall.
- 18 Q Other than the three investigations you've
- 19 mentioned, the one involving FedEx driver, the one
- 20 involving Sergeant Jones and the one involving
- 21 Lieutenant Fortunato, can you think of any other
- 22 investigations that you were subjected to where the
- 23 defendants knew that you had done nothing wrong?
- 24 A There was another incident that comes to
- 25 mind with Lieutenant Shulman. I had arrested a

- 2 defendant for criminal possession of a forged
- 3 instrument on a felony count. I had placed him
- 4 under arrest. Had his vehicle impounded.
- 5 When Sergeant Wilson showed up on the
- 6 scene, which was at North Avenue and Lovell Road,
- 7 and said that Lieutenant Shulman says to unarrest
- 8 the defendant and to release the vehicle. The
- 9 defendant already was handcuffed in the back of the
- 10 police car and the tow truck already had the
- 11 defendant's car on the tow truck and it was leaving.
- 12 Upon his, Sergeant Wilson's command,
- 13 I unarrested the defendant and released the vehicle
- 14 back to the defendant and he was on his way. Spoke
- 15 to Lieutenant Shulman about that. He said his
- 16 investigation was that on the daytime we're busy
- 17 doing school crossings, we can't arrest people for
- 18 forged instruments. That was something that should
- 19 be done exclusively on the midnight tour.
- I explained to him that the law
- 21 doesn't have different hours of which the statute of
- 22 criminal possession of a forged instrument doesn't
- 23 have different hours which to effect an arrest, and
- 24 he stated -- and I had vouchered the defendant's
- 25 driver's licence, which he had altered and forged,

- 2 as evidence. I had generated a police incident
- 3 report regarding the incident. However, Sergeant
- 4 Wilson clearly stated it was wrong, but he was
- 5 outranked by Lieutenant Shulman.
- That's just another one that comes to
- 7 my mind at this point that was an investigation of
- 8 wrongdoing. Defendant clearly had violated the law.
- 9 And secured the evidence that was ordered to by the
- 10 supervisor, Sergeant Wilson, to unarrest him and to
- 11 release the vehicle and send him on his way.
- 12 Q Was there any investigation conducted of
- 13 that incident?
- 14 A The investigation by Lieutenant Shulman on
- 15 that. And his investigation yielded the fact that
- 16 that type of arrest, the forged instrument, should
- 17 not be conducted on the -- between the hours of
- 18 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. This was all relayed to
- 19 Captain Gazzola and Captain Gazzola basically stated
- 20 that there are school crossings and other functions
- 21 during the day tour that are paramount.
- 22 Q Do you recall how long that investigation
- 23 was pending?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q Did it all occur in a matter of hours?

- 2 A I don't know.
- 3 Q Was the person who was arrested, was the
- 4 defendant released at the scene of the arrest?
- 5 A He was released in the middle of the
- 6 street, yes. As per the order of the sergeant.
- 7 Q So am I correct that Lieutenant Shulman
- 8 made a decision on the spot to have him released?
- 9 A Lieutenant Shulman -- Sergeant Wilson
- 10 stated it was Lieutenant Shulman who stated to have
- 11 him unarrested, and I confirmed that after -- I
- 12 released the defendant, I spoke to Lieutenant
- 13 Shulman, and he confirmed that.
- 14 Q Am I correct that this person was never
- 15 brought to headquarters?
- 16 A That defendant, like I stated earlier, was
- 17 released in the middle of the street, and so was his
- 18 vehicle.
- 19 Q And were you punished in any way for
- 20 having made that arrest?
- 21 A I don't presently recall, but I believe I
- 22 was on foot post after that. Walking post.
- 23 Q And at the time that you made this arrest
- 24 for criminal possession of a forged instrument, what
- 25 tour were you working?

- 2 A Eight to four.
- 3 Q And is it your recollection that after you
- 4 made that arrest you were assigned to a walking
- 5 post, but on the eight to four tour of duty?
- 6 A I believe so.
- 7 Q Were you assigned to a walking post on the
- 8 eight to four tour of duty before you made the
- 9 arrest?
- 10 A Yes, as a junior officer during the
- 11 holiday period. Other times of the year, if I was a
- 12 junior officer I would walk along with the other
- 13 junior officers.
- 14 Q And are foot posts common on the eight to
- 15 four tour of duty?
- 16 A At times.
- 17 Q Are foot posts customary on the eight to
- 18 four tour of duty?
- 19 A If there are enough manpower, I believe
- 20 so.
- 21 Q Other than the incidents you just
- 22 mentioned of the FedEx driver, the incident with
- 23 Sergeant Jones, the verbal reprimand from Lieutenant
- 24 Fortunato and the criminal possession of a forged
- 25 instrument arrest involving Lieutenant Shulman, can

- 2 you think of any other investigations that you were
- 3 subjected to concerning matters where the defendants
- 4 in this case knew that you had done nothing wrong?
- 5 A Yes. Investigation conducted by Captain
- 6 Gazzola where he stated that on the assignment that
- 7 I was given at North Avenue detail, that I was
- 8 writing summonses off post, off the area. The area
- 9 had been changed and modified from time to time.
- 10 The type of summonses that were being dispensed were
- 11 also modified at Captain Gazzola's discretion from
- 12 time to time.
- 13 Another investigation by Captain
- 14 Gazzola regarding the use of a camera that was put
- into the car against my will, and notified him and
- 16 made him aware that the camera in a roll call with
- 17 other police officers present where he was asking
- 18 for feedback on the particular type of camera, which
- 19 is a Coband camera, and I told him that it logs off
- 20 frequently and doesn't work. I also gave him a
- 21 written memo on that as well as going in there with
- 22 Edward Hayes on at least two occasions, telling him
- in his office the camera logs off.
- 24 They sent the camera out to repair,
- 25 police repair in Mamaroneck. Came back, it was

- 2 still defective. However, I was written up for not
- 3 using the in-car camera after they knew that the
- 4 camera was defective.
- 5 After being notified both verbally,
- 6 numerous times verbally, with other police officers
- 7 present, myself and Edward Hayes, and also with
- 8 written correspondence indicating that.
- 9 Q Now, in reference to the contention that
- 10 you were writing summonses in areas that were off
- 11 your assigned post, is that the subject of the
- 12 pending disciplinary hearing or is it a different
- 13 matter?
- 14 A No, it is.
- 15 Q It is. Okay. And in reference to the
- 16 alleged failure to use a camera, is that the subject
- 17 of a pending disciplinary hearing?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Now, are there any other instances where
- 20 you believe you were investigated by -- for doing
- 21 things that the defendants knew you had not done
- 22 wrong?
- 23 A Yes. The New Rochelle High School
- 24 crossing, the assignment that you cross at times is
- 25 referred to as crossing, at times referred to as

- 2 patrol, it's very vague on the specific assignment.
- 3 I have given out summonses for failure to yield to
- 4 pedestrians. Primarily for the safety of the school
- 5 children. And also the free flow of traffic for the
- 6 school buses, make sure they discharge students
- 7 safely. I have given summonses when it was
- 8 absolutely necessary to do so in connection with
- 9 that patrol or crossing and been reprimanded to
- 10 state that my job is not to give summonses out, it's
- 11 just to primarily be highly visible and just to
- 12 cross the kids. That was also the same scenario at
- 13 Ursuline School, which is at North and Lovell.
- 14 On some occasions, very rare
- 15 occasions, I would have given out summonses for
- 16 either a motorist going through a red light while T
- 17 was in the middle of the intersection trying to
- 18 cross kids or a failure to yield to the students.
- 19 And there was investigations regarding that when
- 20 there was no wrongdoing. They knew no wrongdoing
- 21 had occurred and they conducted investigations,
- 22 called me in on the investigations when other
- 23 members of the service do the same and issue a lot
- 24 more summonses on those posts. There's no
- 25 investigations.

- Such as Kathleen O'Connor. She has
- 3 routinely had that post at the high school. She, on
- 4 a matter of routine, issues summonses at the high
- 5 school crossing. There is no investigations that
- 6 are conducted on her.
- 7 Q Now, in reference to the summonses at the
- 8 New Rochelle High School crossing, who conducted the
- 9 investigation?
- 10 A I believe it was -- I don't know who
- 11 initiated it but I believe all investigations get
- 12 forwarded to Lieutenant Fortunato of Internal
- 13 Affairs Division.
- 14 Q Do you know what the outcome was of the
- 15 investigation?
- 16 A Absolutely no wrongdoing there. I mean,
- 17 there was a legitimate summons, there shouldn't have
- 18 been an investigation initiated in the first place.
- 19 But that was -- I believe Captain Gazzola had
- 20 routinely made a matter of fact to review every one
- 21 of my summonses that was issued. So if he saw that
- 22 summons was issued at a high school crossing, an
- 23 investigation would be initiated as to why.
- Q Do I understand correctly that after
- 25 Lieutenant Fortunato investigated it, he found you

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 had committed no wrongdoing?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q So you were not punished in any way,
- 5 right?
- A No, just began to investigate it when they
- 7 knew there was no wrongdoing had occurred.
- 8 Q In reference to the Ursuline school, who
- 9 conducted that investigation?
- 10 A As with all investigations, they get
- 11 funneled through to Lieutenant Fortunato. He's the
- only member of the Internal Affairs Division. It's
- 13 a one-man unit.
- 14 0 What was the outcome of that
- 15 investigation?
- 16 A No wrongdoing.
- 17 Q So you were not penalized in any way?
- 18 A No. Again, subject to a departmental
- 19 investigation.
- 20 Q In reference to the investigation
- 21 concerning the FedEx driver, what was the outcome of
- 22 that investigation?
- 23 A There is nothing about a wrongful arrest.
- 24 However, a departmental hearing that's outstanding
- 25 at this time regarding other infractions of the New

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 Rochelle procedures.
- 3 Q In reference to the complaint filed by the
- 4 FedEx driver, what happened to that?
- 5 A Lieutenant Fortunato called me to his
- 6 office and stated there was absolutely no wrongdoing
- 7 in connection with that.
- 8 Q So you were not punished for that, is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A I was punished for being assigned to
- 11 dispatching position. I was on it for six months.
- 12 I was punished for being a jail escort, punished for
- 13 barring the use of departmental vehicles, punished
- 14 for watching suicidal prisoners. I was degraded and
- 15 humiliated by other members of the service.
- 16 Q How soon after Lieutenant Fortunato closed
- 17 the investigation were you then assigned to a
- 18 different job other than being the dispatcher?
- 19 A I don't presently recall. I do recall
- 20 that as soon as the EEOC notice was filed, I was
- 21 then put back on the street. Right after that
- 22 notice was filed. Shortly right after.
- 23 Q Do you know when Lieutenant Fortunato
- 24 completed his investigation and determined that the
- 25 claim was unsubstantiated?

EXHIBIT 28

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 recall presently, at this time, if it was on or about
- 3 January 7th.
- 4 Q Did you ever send him any kind of
- 5 memorandum or letter or written or other written
- 6 communication talking about persecution based on
- 7 heritage?
- 8 A As I just stated earlier, yes.
- 9 Q Do you recall when you did that?
- 10 A I don't presently recall.
- 11 Q Do you recall what year you did it in?
- 12 A I don't presently recall.
- 13 Q If you could just turn the page to
- 14 Page, 5, the top Subparagraph B, where it says, On
- 15 February 2nd advised Gazzola in a rebuttal. Do you
- 16 see that?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Did you have occasion to meet with
- 19 Captain Gazzola on February 2nd, 2007?
- 20 A I don't presently recall the date that
- 21 I met with him, but yes, I have met with him
- 22 specifically discussing this.
- 23 Q Am I correct you don't recall whether
- 24 you met with him on February 2nd, 2007?
- 25 A I don't presently recall.

- 2 Q Do you recall whether or not you met
- 3 with him on or about February 2nd, 2007?
- 4 A I don't presently recall the date.
- 5 Q Do you recall whether or not you ever
- 6 communicated with him in writing on February 2nd,
- 7 2007?
- 8 A I don't presently recall the date, but
- 9 I have communicated in writing exactly to what's
- 10 specified in B on Page 5.
- 11 Q I just missed the part of your answer.
- 12 Am I correct that you said you don't recall the date
- 13 you did that?
- 14 A I don't presently recall the date, but
- 15 however, I do recall that I met with him in person
- 16 and also given him memorandums advising him of
- 17 exactly what's described in B on Page 5.
- 18 Q Do you know what month you did that?
- 19 A I don't presently recall the date.
- 20 Q Moving on to Paragraph 10, do you see
- 21 where it says, as approximate result of Plaintiff's
- 22 expressions of concern, as evidenced by the preceding
- 23 Paragraph 9, Defendants agreed to punish him by
- 24 denying Plaintiff tuition reimbursement. Do you see
- 25 that?

Page 135 1 ARAZ ALALI 2 Α Yes, I do. 3 Q Okay. What is the basis for your 4 belief that the Defendants agreed to punish you, by 5 denying you tuition reimbursement? 6 My belief is that PBA president Edward 7 Hayes [ph] had a discussion with Deputy Commissioner 8 Carroll, regarding the tuition reimbursement that was 9 exceeding \$10,000. And he told Edward Hayes, I'm not 10 going to pay Officer Alali's tuition. 11 Furthermore, I received a phone call on 12 my home, from the deputy commissioner on my voice 13 mail, stating he did not have the grades for that or 14 the letter that I submitted to him that I requested to go to school, which I clearly had given him both 15 16 the grades and the letter, which I have copies of. To date, to date, as I'm sitting here 17 18 before you now, tuition is still outstanding. 19 not been paid. 20 Has the City of New Rochelle made any 21 payments towards tuition reimbursement for you? 22 Α A small partial payment and the way 23 that was reached is a mystery. But clearly, there's 24 an outstanding tuition balance that's approximately 25 \$10,000 as of date, as of today's date.

- 2 Like I said, it was told to Edward
- 3 Hayes, that for no other reason he's just not going
- 4 to pay my tuition, and he paid other officer's
- 5 tuitions.
- 6 Q How did you learn of this conversation
- 7 that was had with Edward Hayes?
- 8 A Edward Hayes had informed me of a
- 9 conversation, after I had brought it to his attention
- 10 with outstanding bills from Iona College, which was
- 11 grossly past due and affecting my credit, and he
- 12 addressed that with deputy commissioner who's in
- 13 charge of budgeting.
- 14 Q Now, earlier in your response you used
- 15 the title deputy commissioner, but then said Carroll.
- 16 Was that in error?
- 17 A If I said that, it's in error. The
- 18 deputy commissioner I'm referring to is Deputy
- 19 Commissioner Murphy.
- 20 Q Okay. You mentioned you received a
- 21 message at home from the deputy commissioner?
- 22 A Correct, on my home voice mail.
- 23 Q And I understand that he told you in
- 24 substance that he didn't have grades or letter
- 25 authorizing you to do what?

Page 137 ARAZ ALALI 1 He didn't have the grades for Iona 2 Α College. 3 Right. 4 And furthermore, did not have a letter Α 5 authorizing me to go to Iona College, and I had 6 submitted both the grades, which I'm on the Dean's 7 List and also I a signed letter by him, by the deputy 8 commissioner, himself, authorizing me to go to 9 school. 10 So, then at a later time, I sat me down 11 with Edward Hayes and he came up with a number, that 12 both Edward Hayes and I ask how he came to, and we 13 don't know, that he's going to pay a small portion 14 and each officer had a different amount. 15 But to date, I still have an 16 outstanding balance with Iona College and it's 17 currently effecting my credit. 18 How much did the city of New Rochelle 19 pay to Iona College? 20 I don't presently know. It was a small 21 It was not close to the amount that was 22 23 owed. What is the basis for your belief that 24 under the tuition reimbursement plan that they should 25

- 2 have paid the entire amount?
- 3 Well, the very fact that he clearly
- 4 told Edward Hayes that he's not going to pay the
- 5 tuition, until he was then served after with a facts
- of basically, of my counsel Lovett's memorandum of
- 7 not retaliating. Subsequent to that, he agreed to
- 8 pay a portion.
- 9 Prior to that, he flat out told Edward
- 10 Hayes, he told myself, that he's not paying any of
- 11 the tuition, whatsoever.
- 12 After he received the correspondence
- 13 from counsel Lovett, he had reached out to me on my
- 14 voice mail at home, stating that he did not have the
- 15 grades, nor had given the authorization to go to
- 16 college, which that is a flat out lie.
- 17 I had submitted the grades and also had
- 18 submitted the letter which he authorized with his
- 19 signature, that I can attend school, attend Iona
- 20 College.
- 21 Q After you received that voice mail
- 22 message, did you give him another copy of the grades
- 23 and the letter?
- 24 A I don't presently recall, but I
- 25 definitely had a conversation with him regarding

- 2 that.
- I believe he said that he found the
- 4 letter and that he was going to pay. He came up with
- 5 a number, a small portion, of it. And when he was
- 6 asked by myself and Edward Hayes how he arrived at
- 7 that number, how he came up with that number, he
- 8 couldn't provide an explanation.
- 9 Q When you say a small portion, do you
- 10 mean less than half?
- 11 A I believe so.
- 12 Q Okay. Is there any documentation as to
- what you're entitled to under the tuition
- 14 reimbursement plan?
- 15 A There is the letter that he authorizes,
- 16 that I generate, that they will pay the tuition, that
- 17 he sign off on.
- 18 That's basically a memo generated by
- 19 myself to the deputy commissioner, which he
- 20 authorizes. But understand this, that even prior to
- 21 paying that small portion, he had told Edward Hayes
- 22 and myself that he's not paying any of it and he only
- 23 paid a small portion after receiving the
- 24 correspondence from counsel Lovett that I was being
- 25 singled out, based on my heritage.

- Okay. Mr. Alali, at the last sentence
- 3 on Page 5 and it goes over to Page 6, it says, by
- 4 reason of Defendants' intentional discriminatory
- 5 treatment of Plaintiff, he has been caused
- 6 substantial pecuniary damages. Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 Q Can you explain what those damages are?
- 9 A Surely. The fact that I was falsely
- 10 rated below standards, barred and prevented me from
- 11 doing any type of overtime assignments, off duty
- 12 assignments, any type of mutual switches.
- 13 Q What would be the economic impact of
- 14 being able to have mutual switches, versus not being
- 15 able to have mutual switches?
- 16 A It would not be an economic impact for
- 17 mutual switches, however it would enable me to
- 18 alleviate child care issues that I would have at
- 19 home.
- 20 O In reference to special off duty
- 21 assignments, do you know whether or not there's a
- 22 limitation as to how many hours a week a police
- 23 officer is permitted to work special, off duty
- 24 assignments?
- 25 A I know that there is a rule in place.

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 I don't know the exact hours, but I know that that
- 3 rules have also been bent, and officers have exceeded
- 4 whatever the amounted hours are.
- 5 For the past few years, I have not been
- 6 permitted to work any type of overtime or off duty
- 7 jobs, because of the false, below standards
- 8 evaluations.
- 9 Do you know how many hours are provided
- 10 for in the rule, regulating special off duty
- 11 assignments?
- 12 A As I said earlier, no, I do not know
- 13 the amount of hours.
- 14 O Okay. Have you ever calculated your
- 15 financial loss, incurred as a result of not working
- 16 special off duty assignments?
- 17 A I don't presently recall if I
- 18 calculated that. However, I did suffer substantial
- 19 monetary loss, due to the fact that false, below
- 20 standard performance evaluations.
- 21 Q Is that as a result of not being able
- 22 to work special off duty assignments?
- 23 A That is correct.
- 24 Q Okay. Officer, I'm going to show you
- 25 what has been marked as Defendants' Exhibit B for

Page 147 ARAZ ALALI 1 identification, and ask you if you can identify that 2 document? 3 Yes. Okay. Have you ever seen that document 5 before today? 6 Yes, I have. 7 Α Okay. When did you first see it? ġ 0 Α I don't presently recall. 9 Okay. Did you receive this document at 10 0. 11 your home? Yes, I did. 1.2 Α 13 0. Did you respond to it in any way? I talked it over with counsel. 14 Α Did you have occasion to communicate 0 15 with Mr. Iarocci, after you received this letter? 16 Α No. 17 Is there a reason that you didn't 18 respond to Mr. Iarocci? 19 MR. LOVETT: It's a yes or a no. 2.0 21 Α Yes. What was that reason? 22 0 À There's a -- handling this matter with 23 my counsel, because I was --24 I'm going to show you what's been 25 O.

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 pre-marked as Defendants' C for identification.
- 3 Officer Alali, is that a copy of the charge that you
- 4 filed with the EEOC?
- 5 A Yes, it is.
- 6 Q I'm going to show you what has been
- 7 pre-marked as Defendants' D for identification and
- 8 there's a copy for your counsel attached. Can you
- 9 identify Defendants' D for identification?
- 10 I'm going to withdraw that question and
- 11 rephrase it. Referring to Defendants' D for
- 12 identification, is that a copy of the charges and
- 13 specifications that are pending against you at the
- 14 moment?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Now, in reference to specification one,
- 17 it starts on Page 1, reckless operation of a
- 18 department vehicle, do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Were you offered a command discipline
- 21 in resolution of that charge?
- 22 A I don't presently recall.
- 23 Q I'm going to show you what's been
- 24 pre-marked as Defendants' E for identification. Here
- 25 is a copy for your counsel, and I'm going ask you if

```
Page 149
                           ARAZ ALALI
1
    you can identify that document?
2
            Α
                   Yes.
3
                   Can you tell us what it is?
4
                   It's an incident report on an assault.
 5
            Α
 6
            Q.
                   And did you make an arrest in this
     case?
7
            Α
                   Yes.
 8
                   Did that result in a civilian
 9
            0
     complaint?
10
                   I do not know.
11
                   And looking at the lower left hand
12
     corner of the exhibit, is that a copy of your
13
14
     signature?
15
                   MR. LOVETT: The first page?
                   MR. MEISELS: The first page, yes.
16
                   It's a photocopy of it, yes.
17
            Α
                    It is. Looking at the lower, left hand
18
            0
     corner of the second page, is that a photocopy of
19
20
     your signature?
            Α
                    Yes.
21
                    I'm going show you what has been
22
23
     pre-marked as Defendants' F for identification, and
24
     ask if you can identify that document?
25
            Α
                    No.
```

Page 150 1 ARAZ ALALI 2 Q. Referring to the middle of the page, where there appears to be a scrawl, is that your 3 signature? 4 5 Α No. 6 Referring to September 10, 2006, did 7 you have occasion to be present at the Thruway Diner? I don't presently recall. 8 Α 9 0 Have you ever been in the Thruway 10 Diner? 11 Yes. Α 12 Q And referring back to the fall 2006, 13 were you ever in the Thruway Diner? 14 I don't presently recall. Α 15 Q Did you ever have a dispute with anyone 16 in the Thruway Diner, concerning a discount on a 17 bill? Α No. 18 19 Did you ever threaten to arrest a 20 cashier because she wouldn't give you a discount on a bill? 21 22 Ä No. 23 0 Did you ever threaten to arrest a 24 cashier for disorderly conduct because she wouldn't 25 give you a discount on a bill?

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 paragraph?
- 3 A The reassignment that I was talking
- 4 about was being reassigned to the dispatcher
- 5 position, as well as -- primarily dispatcher, as well
- 6 as watching designated risk suicidal prisoners,
- 7 prison transporter and court officer assistance,
- 8 assisting court officers, New York State court
- 9 officers.
- 10 Q Did there come a time that you learned
- 11 that your reassignment was occasioned because of a
- 12 civilian complaint that had been filed against you?
- 13 A Depends who you talk to. Commissioner
- 14 Carroll had a stance on it, and Lieutenant Fortunato
- 15 had a different stance on it.
- 16 O Is it correct that the commissioner
- 17 told you that the reason for the assignment was
- 18 because of a civilian complaint?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Did he tell you it that before or after
- 21 you gave him this document?
- 22 A After I gave him this document.
- 23 Q And did he tell you that in that
- 24 meeting that you had with him, the short time after
- 25 you gave him the document?

Page 161

ARAZ ALALI

A Yes, which Edward Hayes was present as well.

Q And can you tell me in words or substance what the commissioner said to you at that meeting?

- 7 A Basically, that I had been reassigned
- 8 due to a civilian complaint, and I will be there
- 9 until the investigation is over.
- 10 Q And can you tell me in words or
- 11 substance what Mr. Hayes said at the meeting?
- 12 A Mr. Hayes stated and reaffirmed if, in
- 13 fact, there was a standing order from Captain Gazzola
- 14 stating that I had to perform specific tasks, such as
- 15 being a dispatcher, designated risk prisoner watch,
- 16 prisoner transporter, court officer's assistance, the
- 17 Commissioner did state there was a standing order and
- 18 that I had to perform those tasks while the
- 19 investigation was ongoing.
- 20 Q Did Edward Hayes say anything, other
- 21 than what you've already explained?
- 22 A I don't presently recall.
- 23 Q Can you tell us in words or substance
- 24 what you said at the meeting?
- 25 A Basically, that I was again, being

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 2 singled out due to my heritage. That I've been --
- 3 the standing order by Captain Gazzola was, once
- 4 again, a means to degrade and humiliate me.
- 5 I'm working hard. Took this
- 6 opportunity, under false complaint, to punish me and
- 7 to degrade me even further then he already has on
- 8 previous occasions.
- 9 Q Now, the civilian complaint that was
- 10 referenced, was that a complaint by Mr. Edwin Veras?
- 11 A That's what was related to me by
- 12 Lieutenant Fortunato.
- 13 Q Is Edwin Veras the Fed Ex driver that
- 14 we discussed in the first part of the deposition?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Do you know whether or not Mr. Veras
- 17 actually filed a civilian complaint against you?
- 18 A No, I do not.
- 19 Q Were you ever interviewed by the
- 20 Internal Affairs department in reference to a
- 21 civilian complaint, supposedly filed by Edwin Veras?
- 22 A Yes. I was interviewed and also told
- 23 that I had absolutely no wrongdoing in my encounter
- 24 with Mr. Edwin Veras and the arrest and the facts and
- 25 circumstance prior to the arrests were lawful. And

- 2 that was told to me by Lieutenant Fortunato and also
- 3 present was PBA president, Edward Hayes.
- 4 Q Was Edward Hayes present when you were?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 On how many occasions did you speak to
- 7 Lieutenant Fortunato about the Edwin Veras complaint?
- 8 A Numerous times.
- 9 Q Was Mr. Hayes present on each occasion?
- 10 A Each and every occasion, yes.
- 11 Q As you sit here today, can you
- 12 distinguish between what was said in each one of
- 13 those different meetings you had with Lieutenant
- 14 Fortunato?
- 15 A No. Just that I was there for an
- 16 extended period of six months so, I had numerous
- 17 meetings on this false allegations. And prior to, I
- 18 would say, midway through this six month period,
- 19 approximately, Lieutenant Fortunato stated to myself
- 20 and Edward Hayes that I had committed no wrongdoing
- 21 with Mr. Veras, that investigation was over and
- 22 arrest was lawful and the facts and circumstances
- 23 prior to the arrest of Mr. Veras was lawful and he
- 24 had no problem with the outcome of Mr. Veras. And
- 25 that was, also, like I stated earlier, witnessed by

- 2 Edward Hayes with each and every meeting I had with
- 3 Lieutenant Fortunato.
- 4 However I did remain inside after the
- 5 investigation was over and we had spoken to
- 6 Commissioner Carroll, that the investigation was over
- 7 he acknowledged it was over, however kept me inside
- 8 for many months after the investigation was over. I
- 9 would like to make that clear.
- 10 And we went numerous times to
- 11 Commissioner Carroll and Lieutenant Fortunato and as
- 12 I stated earlier, it depends what stance you had.
- 13 Lieutenant Fortunato stated that this was not a
- 14 result, he did not have any knowledge that it was a
- 15 result of a complaint or a standing order by Captain
- 16 Gazzola.
- 17 Commissioner Carroll said the opposite,
- 18 and both times with meetings with Fortunato, numerous
- 19 times with meetings with Fortunato and Commissioner
- 20 Carroll, Edward Hayes was present to this as well.
- This was only after the filing of the
- 22 EEOC, the first day that I had come back, was I put
- 23 back to resume normal duties.
- 24 Q Do you know when the Internal Affairs
- 25 investigation of a civilian complaint, filed by Edwin

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 Veras, was completed?
- 3 A I didn't know if Mr. Veras had filed a
- 4 complaint. I was just told that, through Lieutenant
- 5 Fortunato.
- 6 Q Am I correct that you were interviewed
- 7 a number of times by Lieutenant Fortunato?
- 8 A I believe so.
- 9 Q So, based upon that, was it your
- 10 impression that there was an investigation?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And do you know when the investigation
- 13 was concluded?
- 14 A When Lieutenant Fortunato stated it was
- 15 concluded.
- As I stated earlier, several months
- 17 after me being let go after the EEOC filing by
- 18 counsel.
- 19 Q Referring to Defendants' O, you
- 20 indicated that, furthermore, I was given a direct
- 21 order by Lieutenant Debarras [ph]. Do you see that?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Do you recall receive any order from
- 24 Lieutenant Debarras, directly?
- 25 A Absolutely.

- 2 and false evaluations, that I would only patrol main
- 3 streets. That's clearly not the case as indicated by
- 4 the areas of occurrence on my arrests and summonses
- 5 given through out the City of New Rochelle. Just
- 6 another fabrication on the administration.
- 7 Q And skipping two sentences, instead, he
- 8 chose to focus on main road and parkway off ramps.
- 9 Does that reference the same question as to where you
- 10 were focused and whether or not it was too focused on
- 11 highways and some other places?
- 12 A That's exactly what I stated earlier,
- 13 that the evaluating Sargeant in conjunction with
- 14 Captain Gazzola, had fabricated that, stating I
- 15 focused on main roadways and parkway off ramps.
- 16 That's a false statement. That's a distortion of the
- 17 truth.
- 18 O And then the next sentence says, that
- 19 is a slanderous statement. Do you see that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Can you explain what is there about
- 22 that statement that's slanderous?
- 23 A It's an out and out lie. I don't know
- 24 how else to put it. That's clearly supported by my
- 25 numerous summonses given in different areas of the

- 2 sector that I'm assigned, as well as arrests.
- 3 If you look at the next line, that's
- 4 clear. The fact that I had an arrest on Rombru Drive
- 5 for a burglary clearly shows the distortion of the
- 6 truth. That is a very highly residential area in the
- 7 north end of New Rochelle, that I affected a numerous
- 8 burglary arrests over there, shows that that is
- 9 nowhere near an on ramp or off ramp or main roadway.
- 10 It's a very excluded highway
- 11 residential area of north end New Rochelle, away from
- 12 any commercial establishments or on ramps or main
- 13 roadways.
- 14 Q Referring, turning to the second page
- 15 of the document towards the middle of the page, do
- 16 you see where it says, Sargeant Wilson then states
- 17 there were eight civilian complaints?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And then you indicate, I do not have
- 20 any knowledge of them. Was that accurate when you
- 21 wrote that?
- 22 A I didn't have knowledge of all eight
- 23 complaints, is accurate, yes. I might have knowledge
- of a few, as I indicated with Mr. Veras, but not of
- 25 all eight complaints.

- 2 That was then reworded by Captain
- 3 Gazzola to have been incorrect, and I don't know the
- 4 number he came up with, but it was less.
- 5 Again, that statement is a distortion
- of the truth, and I wasn't made aware of the majority
- 7 of these complaints.
- 8 Q Now, the document then goes on to say,
- 9 it would only seem logical that if I was exonerated
- 10 from a complaint, that it should not have a negative
- 11 bearing on my evaluation. Do you know whether or not
- 12 you were exonerated on any of these complaints?
- A As I stated earlier, the complaint, the
- 14 fictitious complaint that Mr. Veras had lobbied
- 15 against me, I was told by Lieutenant Marshall and
- 16 Captain Gazzola that I was exonerated, due to the
- 17 fact that I was on camera and even referenced to what
- 18 I stated earlier, a joke about it was not so bad
- 19 about being on camera after all.
- 20 Do you know whether any of those
- 21 complaints resulted in the finding that they were
- 22 unsubstantiated?
- 23 A I don't know, as I stated earlier, the
- 24 difference if it's synonymous, unsubstantiated or
- 25 exonerated. However, that was used against me in the

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 performance evaluation.
- 3 The fact that the number was not even
- 4 correct of complaints, and the fact that it was
- 5 either unsubstantiated or exonerated that I was being
- 6 rated below standard for such things, is wrong.
- 7 Q As far as you understand, is there a
- 8 difference between a complaint being unsubstantiated
- 9 versus being exonerated?
- 10 A To me, they both lead to the same
- 11 conclusion, that there was no wrongdoing on the part
- 12 of the officer that was involved.
- 13 Q I'm going to show you what's been
- 14 pre-marked as Defendants' S for identification and
- 15 ask you if you can identify that document?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Can you tell us what it is?
- 18 A It's a document communication by
- 19 Captain Gazzola to me, punishing me for a false below
- 20 standards evaluation in 2006.
- 21 Q Now, do you recall when you received
- 22 this document?
- 23 A On or about the date that's specified
- 24 in March 2007.
- 25 Q Have you, yourself, ever reviewed the

Page 184 ARAZ ALALI 1 department's rules and regulations? 2 Yes. 3 Α Do you know whether or not the 4 quotation here from the rules and regulations is 5 accurate? 6 MR. LOVETT: Your copy has a quote? 7 The quote is referenced by the bold 0 8 9 language? Can you rephrase that question, please? 10 Do you know whether or not the Sure. 11 0 material referenced in bold on this document, 12 accurately reflects the provision in the department 13 rules and regulations concerning the limitations on 14 your overtime work? 15 I assume so, since I consulted with Α 16 17 Edward Hayes. Did he advise you that these 18 limitations were provided for in the department's 19 rules and regulations? 20 I believe so. Α 21 I'm going to show you what's been 22 O pre-marked as Defendants' T for identification and 23 24 I'm going to ask you if you can identify that 25 document?

***		Page 185
1		ARAZ ALALI
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Can you tell us what it is?
4	A	It is a communication from Captain
5	Gazzola to myself regarding his finding of	
6	performance ev	aluation of 2006.
7	Q	Do you recall when you received this?
8	A	On or about March of 2007.
9	Q	I'm sorry, on or about March 21st, did
10	you receive it	?
11	A	No. On or about March 2007. I don't
12	know the exact date.	
13	Q	Was it your understanding that Captain
14	Gazzola did am	end the evaluation to indicate that you
15	had receive se	ven civilian complaints, not eight? Is
16	that correct?	
17	A	That's what it states in this
18	communication,	yes.
19	Q	I'm going to show you what's been
20	-	Defendants' U for identification, and
21	other than the	handwritten notation on the top of the
22	first page ind	licating Copy, can you identify this
23	document?	
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Can you tell us what it is?

- 2 was asked about it, he said that's what he heard
- 3 through third party information.
- 4 Again, he also said there was a
- 5 tremendous amount of pressure put on him to write and
- 6 author something, due to Captain Gazzola leaning on
- 7 him to do something, which was Captain Gazzola's idea
- 8 for me to ride with him. So it's a bunch of fluff
- 9 and falsehoods in there, once again.
- 10 I further went and stated on the last
- 11 page, if I committed these nine departmental
- infractions, why can't I be given one out of the
- 13 nine, authenticating it to be true. That was
- 14 depicted in my rebuttal letter.
- They were unable to confirm or verify
- 16 one incident out of the nine that they stated I had
- 17 committed this, while I was with Sargeant Austin.
- 18 Q Could you turn to the fourth page of
- 19 the document, is that your rebuttal letter?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 Q And could you turn to the second page
- 22 of your rebuttal letter and looking at the second to
- 23 last sentence of the first paragraph, where it says,
- 24 based on my continual and consistent work ethic and
- 25 being the chief producer on the second tour, I have

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 developed the opportunity to identify both
- 3 unrighteous and admirable citizens?
- 4 A I'm sorry, I need to stop you. I
- 5 don't see that.
- 6 MR. MEISELS: I don't either.
- 7 Q It's the next to last page of the
- 8 document.
- 9 A Of my rebuttal?
- 10 O Yes.
- MR. LOVETT: Yes next to last page of
- this Exhibit Z.
- 13 Q It's the first paragraph. On top it
- 14 says interdepartmental communication. Take your
- 15 time.
- 16 MR. LÖVETT: Here, based on my
- 17 continual and --
- MR. MEISELS: That's right.
- 19 A I got it.
- 20 Q Take a look at that. My question is,
- 21 can you explain what you meant by an unrighteous
- 22 citizen?
- 23 A Basically, I've developed the skills
- 24 throughout my law enforcement career, to identify
- 25 both perpetrators and citizens that are not

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 perpetrators of the law. Unrighteous is obviously
- 3 referring to perpetrators.
- 4 Q Based upon your training and experience
- 5 as a police officer, was it your understanding that
- 6 when you make an arrest that you should provide
- 7 someone with their Miranda rights before you
- 8 interrogate them?
- 9 A Prior to interrogation, yes.
- 10 Q I'm going show you what's been
- 11 pre-marked as Defendants' AA for identification and
- 12 ask if you can identify that document?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Can you tell us what it is?
- 15 A Command discipline report from 2002.
- 16 Q Okay. Now, turning to the bottom of
- 17 the page on the left hand side, is that a photocopy
- 18 of your signature?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And above your signature there's a
- 21 check mark next to the word, accept. Does that
- 22 indicate that you accepted this command discipline?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And was that a letter of reprimand that
- 25 you received?

- 2 A Yes. I was told if I didn't accept it
- 3 charges would be preferred against me. Although,
- 4 what's in this summary of investigation is
- 5 untruthful, I was told to accept the letter of
- 6 reprimand, being that it's a letter of reprimand,
- 7 otherwise charges would be preferred against me, and
- 8 I was destined to lose.
- 9 Q Who told you that?
- 10 A Captain Gazzola. That was early on
- 11 with my career with the New Rochelle Police
- 12 Department.
- 13 Q At the time that you accepted this
- 14 command discipline, were you seeking a transfer to a
- 15 different police department?
- 16 A I don't recall the time I was, but
- 17 early on in my career I was, due to the fact that I
- 18 was being harassed and persecuted based on my
- 19 heritage. I wanted to get out of a hostile and
- 20 unfair work environment.
- 21 Q Did you apply for a transfer to any
- 22 other police department in 2002?
- 23 A What I presently recall, I had resumes
- 24 out early on in my career. I don't presently recall
- 25 if it was in 2002 or shortly there after.

Page 205 ARAZ ALALI 1 When you sent those resumes did you 2 0 provide cover letters? 3 I don't presently recall. 4 Did you keep copies of your 5 correspondence from 2002? 6 A Correspondence, my resumes? I should 7 have copies of them. 8 Did you keep copies of your cover 9 10. letters that accompanied the resume? I don't recall if I had a cover letter. Ά 11 Do you know which departments you sent 12 0 13. those resumes to? 14 Basically, within Westchester County, numerous departments, in hope to the get out of this 15 incredibly biased work environment. 16 When you prepared an application for 17 Q employment with another department, is it sufficient 18 19 just to mail another resume? I wouldn't call them applications, I 20 would call them resumes. There's no applications, 21 22 per say. Have you ever actually filled out an 23 application for employment with another police 24 department, after you joined the New Rochelle Police 25

- 1 ARAZ ÄLÄLI
- 2 Department?
- 3 A Yes. As I indicated earlier, Town of
- 4 Mamaroneck and Westchester Police Department. I had
- 5 done background investigation, not applications.
- 6 Q Do you recall what year you did that
- 7 in?
- 8 A No, but it was after 2002. But also,
- 9 other resumes went out to other departments, besides
- 10 those two.
- 11 Q I'm going to show you what's been
- 12 pre-marked as Defendants' BB for identification, and
- ask you if you can identify that document?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Can you tell us what that is?
- 16 A A letter of reprimand from Captain
- 17 Gazzola to me, in the form of an interdepartmental
- 18 communication.
- 19 Q Now, in reference to that discipline
- 20 that you accepted in December of 2002 and received
- 21 this letter of reprimand, did you ever consult with
- 22 your PBA representative about it?
- 23 A I don't presently recall, but like I
- 24 stated, it was told to me by Captain Gazzola that
- 25 it's only a letter and to fight it I was destined to

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 lose numerous vacation days numerous vacation days.
- 3 I was basically strong armed to sign. As I stated,
- 4 the facts and circumstance in the command discipline
- 5 are not true, however I was told basically, that I
- 6 strongly urged to sign the letter of reprimand and
- 7 influenced to do so.
- 8 Q Did you seek advice from anyone else
- 9 other than Captain Gazzola about what you should do?
- MR. LOVETT: Objection as to form. You
- 11 can answer.
- 12 A I don't presently recall.
- 13 Q Did you ever have occasion to discuss
- 14 any grievances you might have with PBA president
- 15 Pajoli [ph]?
- 16 A It's a long time ago. I do not
- 17 presently recall.
- 18 Q Do you recall what years Officer Pajoli
- 19 was president of the PBA?
- 20 A No. I do remember when I started he
- 21 was PBA president. I don't know how much longer
- 22 after he was president of the PBA.
- Q Did he ever attend any meetings that
- 24 you had with Captain Gazzola?
- 25 A It was such a long time ago, I do not

- 2 presently recall.
- 3 Q I'm going to show you what's been
- 4 pre-marked as Defendants' CC for identification and
- 5 ask you if you can identify that document?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Can you tell us what that is?
- 8 A Again, another false command discipline
- 9 report generated by Sargeant Gionnati [ph] in the
- 10 beginning of my career with New Rochelle Police.
- 11 Q And referring to the lower left hand
- 12 corner of the first page, does that appear to be a
- 13 xeroxed copy of your signature?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Did you check off the box that says,
- 16 accept the finding and the proposed disciplinary
- 17 action?
- 18 A Yes. Again, after being told by
- 19 Captain Gazzola that it's only one day leave, that I
- 20 was going to be taking and if I fought it, I would be
- 21 destined to lose in a hearing which the commissioner
- 22 appoints a hearing officer and made a reference that
- 23 it was a kangaroo court.
- Q Did you have occasion to discuss this
- 25 with your PBA representative before you signed it?

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 A I don't presently recall. It was in
- 3 the beginning of my career. It was a long time ago.
- 4 Q At the time that you agreed to this
- 5 command discipline, were you seeking a transfer to
- 6 another department, other than the New Rochelle
- 7 Police Department?
- 8 A I don't presently recall.
- 9 Q I'm going to show you what's been
- 10 pre-marked as Defendants' DD and ask if you can
- 11 identify that document?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 O Can you tell us what that is?
- 14 A It's a command discipline report that I
- 15 was, again, urged to take. It was a call of kids
- 16 trapped in a burning car.
- 17 There was a -- they stated Captain
- 18 Gazzola states, whether that it's your fault or not
- 19 your fault, that for all motor vehicle accidents you
- 20 are going to get a letter of reprimand.
- 21 Again, this was early on in my career,
- 22 and it was a very serious call of kids trapped in a
- 23 burning car, and they urged me to respond code three.
- In my career, I don't think I've had
- 25 more than this one call, maybe a few to respond code

- 2 three and there's no damage to the vehicle, the
- 3 police vehicle, whatsoever.
- But like I said, it was routine and
- 5 customary to give a letter of reprimand for any type
- 6 of accident that involve police car whether it's your
- 7 fault or not your fault. That's the department
- 8 routine.
- 9 Q This accident, did it involve a
- 10 civilian's vehicle or another police vehicle?
- 11 A My vehicle with a civilian vehicle. My
- 12 police vehicle with a civilian vehicle.
- 13 Q Your police vehicle hit a civilian
- 14 vehicle?
- 15 A The civilian vehicle hit my vehicle,
- 16 struck my vehicle.
- 17 Q Were you proceeding the wrong way on a
- 18 one way street?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Were you making a U-turn?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q How did the accident happen?
- 23 A The civilian was speeding and wouldn't
- 24 stop for my lights and sirens. And Sargeant Myron
- 25 Joseph who is an accident investigator, measured the

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 skid marks of the civilian vehicle who was speeding
- 3 into the yacht club at the time.
- 4 Like I said, there was actually no
- 5 damage to the police vehicle, which was my vehicle.
- 6 There's not even a scratch on the car, but I was told
- 7 that it's the New Rochelle Police Department's matter
- 8 of routine that whether it's your fault or not your
- 9 fault, you get a letter of reprimand for any type of
- 10 accident involving a police vehicle, and I was urged
- 11 again to sign it by Captain Gazzola. And it was also
- 12 sent, like I said on a code three call, kids trapped
- 13 in a burning car.
- 14 Q Did this accident occur on Nautilus
- 15 Place?
- 16 A I don't recall exactly the location of
- 17 the accident.
- 18 Q Do you know if Nautilus Place is a one
- 19 way street?
- 20 A I do not know that. I don't know.
- 21 Q Did you consult with a PBA
- 22 representative before you signed this?
- 23 A I don't presently recall.
- Q Did you consult with anybody before you
- 25 signed it?

Page 212 ARAZ ALALI 1 2 I don't presently recall. Α Did you ever discuss this with Joseph 3 0. Pajoli before you signed it? 4 I don't presently recall. 5 I'm going to show you what's been 6 Q pre-marked as Defendants' EE for identification and 7 ask you if you can identify that document? 8 9 It's another command, false command discipline against me. 10 And is it one that you agreed to? 11 O Again, under the same pretext that it's Α 12 13 a letter of reprimand. If I did not, I would be entering into a kangaroo court, where a hearing 14 officer is appointed by the commissioner, and I would 15 lose substantial amounts of vacation and leave time. 16 So, I was urged to sign this as a 17 letter of reprimand. 18 Did you discuss it with anyone before 19 you sign it? 20 21 Α I don't presently recall. Perhaps I discussed it. 22 23 MR. LOVETT: Don't guess. I don't presently recall. 24 Α Did you discuss it with police officer 25 0

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 Edward Hayes before you signed it?
- 3 A I don't presently recall.
- 4 Q Did you seek advice from anybody before
- 5 you signed it?
- 6 A I don't presently recall. Advice was
- 7 given to me by Captain Gazzola to sign it as a
- 8 letter, only. And as I stated, if I declined, I
- 9 would lose substantial -- whether the fact or
- 10 circumstances, again, of the complaint are
- 11 untruthful, that I would be definitely losing some
- 12 substantial leave time, because they would be
- 13 appointing a hearing officer, and I would be entering
- 14 into a kangaroo court.
- 15 Q At the time that Captain Gazzola
- 16 represented that to you, was your PBA officer
- 17 present?
- 18 A I don't presently recall.
- 19 Q Earlier today you mentioned that PBA
- 20 President Hayes often accompanied you on meetings
- 21 with Captain Gazzola, is that right?
- 22 A When I was issuing the communications,
- 23 yes.
- 24 Q And when you issued this communication
- 25 of accepting this, was he present?

Page 214 ARAZ ALALI 1 I didn't issue the communication. Α 2 had received a communication. Captain Gazzola issued 3 I didn't issue this communication. 4 Where were you when you signed this 5 letter? 6 In Captain Gazzola's office. 7 And do you recall if anyone else was present, besides you and Captain Gazzola? 9 I don't presently recall. 10 In reference to the summary of the 0 11 investigation, are you familiar with the incident 1.2 that gave rise to this discipline? 13 Yes. Ά 14 What happened? 15 There was an investigation generated by Α 16 Lieutenant Fortunato which was modified several 17 times. 18 He had put in the investigation that 19 use of a PA system, the public address system, is 20 only to be used in emergencies. 21 The incident involved a -- and wanted 22 to basically issue a command discipline for such and 23 this was an emergency situation. 24 Again, there were -- after I told --25

- 2 they knew the facts and circumstances of the case,
- 3 they then reluctantly modified it, and it's
- 4 untruthful that it states that I was discourteous and
- 5 unprofessional to the motorist.
- I exercised great restraint. The
- 7 motorist left after I had conducted a traffic stop,
- 8 and I had to pursue the motorist and get back in the
- 9 vehicle. I exercised great restraint by not and
- 10 placing the motorist under arrest.
- 11 Q What PA system are you referring to?
- 12 A Inside only some of the cruisers, other
- 13 cruisers, there have been a lot of cruisers that PA
- 14 systems have been pulled out, as have the AM FM
- 15 radios.
- On my vehicle, car 18, has been cut out
- 17 by the order of Captain Gazzola. This particular car
- 18 I was fortunate enough to have a PA system on the
- 19 front of the car that directs a motorist to do what
- 20 you want them to do.
- 21 Q What did the motorist claim you said
- 22 over the PA system?
- MR. LOVETT: Objection as to form.
- 24 A I don't know what they claim to say, I
- 25 was not privy there, when Lieutenant Fortunato was

- 2 interviewing or interrogating, however you want to
- 3 put it, the motorist. I just know what I said was
- 4 for the motorist to pull to the right of the roadway.
- 5 Q Do you know of the nature of the
- 6 discourteous and unprofessional conduct was alleged
- 7 to be?
- 8 A No, I do not.
- 9 O No one ever told you that?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Did you ever ask?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Who did you ask?
- 14 A Lieutenant Fortunato.
- 15 Q And what did he tell you?
- 16 A He stated that the motorist claimed
- 17 nothing that was discourteous, but I had taken her
- 18 keys away from her and thrown them on the bushes,
- 19 which was clearly untrue. I took the keys away from
- 20 her and placed them on the hood of my vehicle,
- 21 because she had left after, as I indicated earlier,
- 22 conducted a traffic stop.
- I asked her for her license and
- 24 registration and she left the traffic stop, after I
- 25 was speaking to her. So, when I had to pursue her

- 2 with the use of the PA system, I had to take the keys
- 3 away from her because at this time I didn't want her
- 4 to leave a second time.
- 5 The keys were not thrown in the bushes.
- 6 They were not lost. They were placed on the hood of
- 7 my cruiser, which is customary on that type of a
- 8 traffic stop, for my safety as well as hers.
- 9 Q I'm going to show you what was
- 10 pre-marked as Defendants' FF for identification and
- 11 ask you if you can identify that document?
- 12 A Oh, yes.
- Q Can you tell us what that is?
- 14 A A command discipline that was upped by
- 15 Captain Gazzola from one day to two days, in his
- 16 terms, for the egregious violation of parking in
- 17 front of Dunkin Donuts to pick up their coffee,
- 18 meaning the desk officer and the civilians.
- 19 As a matter of routine, if you look at
- 20 the summonses that were issued in front of Dunkin
- 21 Donuts, they tell us that they, meaning Gazzola,
- 22 Carroll, do not issue tickets there they get coffee
- 23 there. So there's routinely, there's no tickets
- 24 issued there. There are police cars that are parked
- 25 in front, in case of emergency, they have easy access

ARAZ ALÄLI

- 2 to get out because it's a very congested parking lot.
- 3 I was written up for one day, but he
- 4 said this was an egregious violation and demanded two
- 5 days leave. At this time, I clearly remember I
- 6 thought I was going to the county police department,
- 7 which I was given the green light to go there and
- 8 completed my background and they were ready to hire
- 9 me. And I was told if I fought this, there were
- 10 would be charges against me, that would hinder me
- 11 from going to county.

1

- 12 I told them this was ridiculous, as did
- 13 President Edward Hayes. No one else that we know of
- 14 in the history of the police department has been
- 15 written up for parking in front of Dunkin Donuts, yet
- 16 alone lost two vacation days.
- 17 He stated if I wanted to get out of
- 18 here, I would sign this. So, I initially, you know,
- 19 I mean it's clear I wanted to get out of there. I
- 20 was told, like I said, he upped it. He said I'm a
- 21 captain, I can do that. He upped it to two days. I
- 22 thought again, it was unjust, and I was being singled
- 23 out once again, based on my heritage.
- Q When you say upped it two days?
- 25 A He doubled it, yes. It was initially,

- 2 one day was prescribed. When I went to him, he said
- 3 I was captain of patrol. It's an egregious
- 4 violations and I'm upping it to two days, so it
- 5 doubled the penalty. He recommended two days and I
- 6 was, again, I thought I was out to the County Police
- 7 Department, but apparently I was told if I didn't
- 8 sign and accept it, that that would hinder me from
- 9 going.
- 10 There was nothing else I wanted more
- 11 than to get out of this incredibly biased work
- 12 environment.
- As you can see, no one else that I know
- of has been ever written up or Edward Hayes and he's
- 15 been there for 17 years that were written up for
- 16 parking in front of Dunkin Donuts to pick up the
- 17 coffee that they sent me to pick up.
- 18 Q Did consult with a PBA representative
- 19 before you signed this?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q Who?
- 22 A I consulted with Edward Hayes on this.
- Q What did Mr. Hayes advise you on this?
- A He recommended that due to the fact
- 25 that I was leaving to the County, this was at the

- 2 point in time that I was supposed to be leaving, to
- 3 put this all behind, that if I fought it although it
- 4 was right to do, that they were prefer charges
- against me, that the county, at that time, would not
- 6 hire me.
- 7 In an effort to get out of this
- 8 department, you know, to put this all, this
- 9 nightmare, horror story behind me, I accepted the
- 10 loss of two days for something that was completely,
- 11 you know, out of line and never happens in the City
- of New Rochelle for parking on Dunkin Donuts which
- 13 happens every day.
- 14 Supervisor's vehicles are in front.
- 15 Patrolman's vehicles are in front. Supervisors are
- 16 there with patrolmen sitting down having coffee in
- 17 front of Dunkin Donuts.
- 18 I was getting humiliated and
- 19 embarrassed and laughed at by all members of service,
- 20 but again, I accepted it because I wanted to go to
- 21 the county police in the worst way to alleviate the
- 22 pain I was suffering in this department.
- 23 Q Was it Mr. Hayes' advice in substance
- 24 that if you had a hearing an were convicted of
- 25 blocking the driveway of Dunkin Donuts you wouldn't

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 be fit for --
- 3 A I wasn't blocking of driveway of Dunkin
- 4 Donuts.
- 5 Q What was the allegation?
- 6 A I was in a no parking any time in front
- 7 of Dunkin Donuts. There's no blocking of any
- 8 driveway.
- 9 And Edward Hayes' recommendation was
- 10 this was -- he's never seen anything like this, but
- 11 he saw the bias and prejudice against me and it was
- 12 best for me to move on to the county police
- 13 department.
- 14 And if I declined it and had a hearing,
- 15 the county at that point would not want to hire me,
- 16 which was ironic, they didn't hire me anyway, because
- one of the administrators in the city of New Rochelle
- 18 giving me a false and fictitious recommendation.
- 19 Q So, was it Mr. Hayes' advice to you, in
- 20 his capacity as PBA president, that if you had a
- 21 hearing and were convicted of parking illegally in
- 22 front of Dunkin Donuts you would not get hired by the
- 23 County of Westchester?
- 24 A That's not accurate. Mr. Hayes'
- 25 recommendation was, that at this very time that I was

- 2 getting served with this complaint, that if I were to
- 3 decline, the department would then prefer charges
- 4 against me that could take many months. The county
- 5 police department would not hire me with outstanding
- 6 charges against me.
- Just for the record, that egregious
- 8 violations is a \$25 parking ticket. He had joked and
- 9 said, do you want to take the \$25 parking ticket or
- 10 two days vacation, as a matter of being, I guess, a
- 11 joke that at the same time was egregious.
- 12 Q Earlier you mentioned that you thought
- 13 that someone had given you a bad reference concerning
- 14 your application for employment with the County of
- 15 Westchester Police Department, is that right?
- 16 A And the Town of Mamaroneck Police
- 17 Department.
- 18 Q Okay. Did you ever see a copy of that
- 19 reference that you believed to be bad?
- 20 A I believe it was a phone call. It
- 21 wasn't any letter written. I believe it was a phone
- 22 call by Captain Gazzola.
- 23 Q Did anyone in the county police ever
- 24 tell you that they received a bad reference from
- 25 someone in the New Rochelle Police Department?

- 2 A No. I was told by the county police, I
- 3 was set to start working there. My background was
- 4 cleared and they hired other officers that went to
- 5 the county police after I did. And I didn't end up
- 6 getting the job. Other officers, meaning other
- 7 officers from the City of New Rochelle that got
- 8 hired.
- 9 And the same exact thing happened with
- 10 the Town of Mamaroneck. It's a very small police
- 11 department. Approximately three to four officers,
- 12 four officers got hired from the City of New Rochelle
- 13 for that small department, after I was told I was
- 14 going to get the job as well.
- 15 Q Did anyone from the Town of Mamaroneck
- 16 Police Department ever tell you that they received a
- 17 bad reference from someone in the New Rochelle Police
- 18 Department?
- 19 A I was told that there was no guarantees
- 20 I was going to get the job, after I was told I was
- 21 going to get the job.
- Q Did Captain Gazzola ever tell that you
- 23 he had given you any kind of reference or any kind of
- 24 transfer?
- 25 A Captain Gazzola stated that he knew

- 2 that I had interest in the Town of Mamaroneck and
- 3 County Police Department and that's all he stated.
- 4 Q I'm going to show you what's been
- 5 pre-marked as Defendants' GG for identification and
- 6 ask you if you can identify that document?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Can you tell us what that is?
- 9 A Again retaliatory strike by Captain
- 10 Gazzola, initiating a complaint against me when there
- 11 was a series of 1013 call which is officer needs
- 12 assistance.
- 13 At this time, Officers Murphy and
- 14 Officer Lori had a Reverend on a ride-a-long, with a
- 15 civilian member of service. They encountered a very
- 16 irate individual who they were trying to arrest.
- 17 They called for a 1013 call. I then
- 18 went to their assistance to help affect the arrest.
- 19 Captain Gazzola states there were no
- 20 civilian complaint. They were routinely checking my
- 21 camera and found that, in his opinion, I drove at a
- 22 high rate of speed to this call, which was not
- 23 necessary. I view that obviously to be a necessary,
- 24 when officers are calling for help. It's probably
- 25 one of the highest calls you would receive in a

- 2 police department.
- Furthermore, I had -- he also said he
- 4 was very upset that I didn't acknowledge at, some
- 5 point, that what they stated, which I didn't hear,
- 6 that they said to slow down, to slow it down to the
- 7 call.
- 8 I did not -- he was upset that I did
- 9 not acknowledge. I told him I didn't hear it. He
- 10 subsequently cut my AM FM radio out of my car to
- 11 punish me and degrade me once again. I was the only
- 12 officer in the entire department without a radio in
- 13 the car.
- 14 He had sent a car down to the city
- 15 yard, which is a place that services the vehicle. I
- 16 had to stand by while the mechanics took my vehicle
- 17 and cut the wires to my AM FM radio, as per Captain
- 18 Gazzola's order as means to punish me.
- 19 I was the only member of service not to
- 20 have an AM FM radio and that was discussed by Edward
- 21 Hayes and Captain Gazzola laughed and stated I was
- 22 not going to get a radio in the car.
- 23 Q Was your radio on when you didn't hear?
- A I have audible lights, I'm sorry,
- 25 audible sirens and overhead lights on. As I said, it

- 2 was a very serious call.
- 3 I did recognize that Officer Murphy and
- 4 Officer Lori had a reverend in the car, a civilian
- 5 member, not only were their safety and lives in
- 6 jeopardy with a drug induced defendant, so was a
- 7 civilian member of service and me, being very close
- 8 by, I would do it all over again as far as assisting
- 9 an officer and civilian in need, and screaming for
- 10 help over the radio.
- 11 Q Did you happen to arrest this drug
- 12 induced defendant?
- 13 A There were two defendants. I assisted
- 14 in one of the defendants. I transported one of the
- 15 defendants. I feel I definitely had assisted.
- 16 Q During the course of your transporting
- 17 the perpetrator, did you have occasion to interrogate
- 18 him?
- 19 A I didn't feel I was interrogated him.
- 20 I felt I was asking him pedigree information.
- 21 Q What was he arrested for?
- 22 A I don't exactly know, it was not my
- 23 arrest. The charges that were against the defendant
- 24 I was transporting, so I don't know. I know that
- 25 drugs were involved. I don't know if there was any

- 1 ARAZ ALALI
- 2 other outstanding charges against either of the two
- 3 defendants.
- I would assume resisting arrests, being
- 5 they were fighting with the defendants.
- 6 O Did you ask him any questions about the
- 7 incident?
- 8 A I don't presently recall.
- 9 Did you advise him of his Miranda
- 10 rights?
- 11 A Yes, I don't presently recall when.
- 12 Q Isn't it a fact that you advised him of
- 13 his Miranda rights after you asked him questions
- 14 about the incident?
- 15 A I don't believe so.
- 16 Q Did you discuss this command discipline
- 17 report that's reflected in Defendants's Exhibit GG
- 18 with Edward Hayes, before you declined to accept it?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Is there a reason that you discussed
- 21 this command discipline report with your PBA
- 22 representative, but you didn't discuss prior ones
- 23 with the PBA representative?
- MR. LOVETT: Objection as to form. You
- 25 can answer.

Page 228 ARAZ ALALI 1 I don't recall not discussing other 2 Α ones, I just recall having discussed this particular 3 one with Edward Hayes, being it's a very recent 4 command discipline. 5 I'm going to show you what's been 6 pre-marked as Defendants' HH for identification and 7 ask you if you can identify that document? 8 Yes. 9 Α Can you tell us what that is? 10 Q It's a communication and a complaint to Α 11 Lieutenant Fortunato of the Internal Affairs 12 division, from me, regarding no back up provided for 13 14 an arrest. You prepared this document? O 15 Yes. Α 16 And on April 20, 2007? Q 17 18 Α Yes. Looking at the bottom of the document 19 where it says, this harassment is based on my 20 heritage. Do you see that? 21 Α Yes. 22 What was the basis for your belief that 23 this incident had anything to do with your heritage? 24

It was really more than a belief.

It's

Α

25

- 2 knowledge of the fact that if you look at the date of
- 3 April 20, 2007, that was after the filing of the
- 4 federal complaint. I received no back up.
- If you review any of the arrests with
- 6 any other officers, it's always, always, not maybe,
- 7 that another unit is sent to assist an officer during
- 8 an arrest.
- 9 At no time did they dispatch a unit to
- 10 assist me. I had to request and wait over ten
- 11 minutes and being in an area that was not, again, on
- 12 a main roadway and wait for backup to come. Wait ten
- 13 minutes, and ten minutes is a very long time and it
- 14 was only upon my request, several requests, actually.
- 15 O I'm going to show you what's been
- 16 marked as Defendants' Exhibit II and ask you if you
- 17 can identify that document?
- 18 A It appears to be a personal history
- 19 questionnaire for the City of New Rochelle Police
- 20 Department.
- 21 Q Could you turn to the last page of the
- 22 document?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Do you see where it says, applicant
- 25 sign here?

Page 230 1 ARAZ ALALI 2 Α Yes. Is that a photocopy of your signature? 3 0 À Yes. 4 5 This does appear to be an application 6 that you filled out for employment with the City of 7 New Rochelle Police Department? I believe so. 8 Α 9 I'm going to show you what's been 0 10 pre-marked as Defendants' JJ for identification and 11 ask you if you can identify that document? 12 Yes. Α What is it? 13 0 A letter from Commissioner Carroll 14 stating that I have been selected for employment by 15 16 New Rochelle Police Department. 17 I'm going to show you what's been Q pre-marked as Defendants' KK for identification and 18 19 ask you if you can identify that document? 20 Α It's a letter from Commissioner 21 Carroll, stating that -- my acceptance to the New Rochelle Police Department. 22 23 O I'm going to go show you what's been pre-marked as Defendants' LL for identification and 24 25 ask you if you can identify that document?

Page 231 ARAZ ALALI 1 It appears to be a letter from the New Α 2 York State Retirement System. 3 With an illegible, MR. LOVETT: 4 apparent handwritten inscription below the words 5 director-disability processing. 6 Let me rephrase my question. Referring 0 7 to Defendants' LL, can you identify this document, 8 not including the illegible handwritten matter on the 9 bottom? 10. No. Α 11. Do you recall ever receiving a 0 12 communication from the retirement system? 13 I don't presently recall this one. 14 Α Did you ever apply for accidental 15 O disability? 16 Yes. Α 17 Do you recall when you applied for 18 accidental disability? 19 I don't presently recall. Α 20 Was it while you were employed by the 21 Q City of New Rochelle? 22 Α Yes. 23 Do you recall what accident the 24 O. disability referenced? 25

Page 232 ARAZ ALALI 1 It was an accident that I had when my 2 Α foot was caught in the rocks pursuing a burglary 3 My foot was trapped between some rocks when 4 I was in the water, affecting the arrest. I was 5 ordered to go down by Sargeant Jones. 6 Do you know what happened to your 7 O application for disability? 8 It was initially denied. 9 Was it ever ultimately granted? 10 Q I, through rehabilitation, returned 11 Α back to work. 12 13 0 How long were you away from work, based upon that disability? 14 I don't presently recall. 15 Α Was it a matter of months? 16 Q 17 À Yes. 18 Ö Was it more than a year? I don't presently recall. 19 Α In reference to the denial of your 2.0 application for accidental disability retirement, did 21 you take an appeal? 22 23 Α I don't presently recall. I don't. I'm going to show you what's been 24

pre-marked as MM for identification and ask you if

25

EXHIBIT 29

1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	ARAZ ALALI,
4	PLAINTIFF,
5	07 civ. 2916 -against-
6	ALBERTÓ DEBARA, individually, KYLE WILSON,
7	individually, EDWARD AUSTIN, individually, GEORGE MARSHALL, individually, HUMBERTO
8	MORRELL, individually, MATTHEW BRADY, individually, ANTHONY MURPHY, individually,
9	ROBERT GAZZOLA, Individually, PATRICK J. CARROLL, individually and the CITY OF NEW
10	ROCHELLE, NEW YORK,
11	DEFENDANTS.
12	
13	DATE: March 14, 2008
14	TIME: 1:20 p.m.
15	
16	
17	EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of the
18	Plaintiff, ARAZ ALALI, taken by the Defendant,
19	pursuant to a Court Order, held at the offices
20	of Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker,
21	LLP., 3 Gannett Drive, White Plains, New York,
22	before a Notary Public of the State of New
23	York.
24	
25	

A. Alali 1 2 from the time you were born until you were 7 3 years old? 4 Α. Yes. When you moved to Pelham Manor, did 5 0. you move with your parents? 6 7 Α. Yes. Are you an American citizen? 8 0. Α. Yes. 9 Were you born an American citizen? 10 Q. Α. No. 11 When were you naturalized as an 12 o. American citizen? 13 I don't know presently recall. 14 15 Do you have any other source of Q. information other than your own recollection as 16 to when you became a naturalized citizen? 17 18 Beyond citizenship paperwork and 19 naturalization paperwork. Do you have copies of that? 20 ο. 21 Α. Not with me, no. But can you get it in your 22 Q. 23 possession? 24 Α. No, not in my possession. Not necessarily here? 25 Q.

1		A. Alali
2	Α.	Right.
3	Q.	You do have copies of that?
4	А.	Absolutely.
5	Q.	Do you know what year you became a
6	naturalized	citizen?
7	Α.	I don't presently recall.
8	Q.	Are your parents American citizens?
9	À.	Yes.
10	Q.	As I understand from your
11	complaint,	you transferred to the New Rochelle
12	Police Depa	rtment?
13	À.	Yes.
14	Q٠	Prior to transferring to the New
15	Rochelle Po	lice Department, how were you
16	employed?	
17	Α.	As a police officer.
18	Q.	Where was that?
19	A.	City of New York Police Department.
20	Q.	How long were you employed by the
21	City of New	York Police Department?
22	Ä.	Approximately 4 to 5 years.
23	Q.	And prior to being employed by the
24	New York Ci	ty Police Department, how were you
25	employed?	

1	A. Alali
2	A. As a police officer.
3	Q. By whom?
4	A. Amtrak Police Department.
5	Q. How long were you employed by the
6	Amtrak Police Department?
7	A. Maybe 2 to 3 years.
8	Q. Prior to being employed by the
9	Amtrak Police Department, how were you
10	employed?
11	A. I was a student as well as working
12	at Neiman Marcus.
13	Q. While you were employed by the New
14	York City Police Department, were you, in your
15	opinion, ever the victim of discrimination
16	based upon your heritage?
17	MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
18	answer.
19	A. I don't believe so.
20	Q. While you were employed by the New
21	York City Police Department, were you ever the
22	subject of civilian complaints?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. While you were employed by the New
25	York City Police Department, were you ever

1	A. Álali
2	brought up on disciplinary charges?
3	A. No, I was not.
4	Q. Did you ever receive a command
5	discipline while you were employed by the New
6	York City Police Department?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Were you ever placed on modified
9	duty while you were employed by the New York
10	City Police Department?
11	A. No.
12	MS. NICAJ: Mr. Meisels, just for
13	the record, this deposition is limited
14	to the issue of qualified immunity for
15	the purposes of your making that motion;
16	is that correct?
17	MR. MEISELS: I'm not under oath.
18	I can't answer any questions, but I
19	will
20	MS. NICAJ: I am asking is that
21	your understanding of the purpose?
22	MR. MEISELS: I can't advise you,
23	but my understanding is I think it's
24	privileged. If you have a comment to
25	make, please feel free to make it.

1	A. Alali
2	MS. NICAJ: No, privileged, the
3.	issue is whether the deposition is on
4	the issue of qualified immunity. Is
5.	that your understanding of today's
6	MR. MEISELS: I said I'm not here
7	to answer the questions. I'm not under
8	oath anyway, so it wouldn't matter. If
.9	you have an objection, state it.
10	MS. NICAJ: I am going to object at
11	this juncture to your line of
12	questioning with respect to Mr. Alali.
13	I am also going to be requesting a short
14	break, okay. There is no pending
15	question, right? I just want to make
16	sure there are no pending questions
17	before I take my break, okay.
18	(Short recess).
19	MS. NICAJ: I'm going to object to
20	this line of questioning as it concerns
21	I think it's on qualified immunity and
22	that is it, and I'm going to object and
23	advise him not to answer.
24	MR. MEISELS: I'm going ask
25	questions and if that be your advice, so

```
A. Alali
1
           be it.
2
                  MS. NICAJ:
                              Okay.
3
                  Officer Alali, how many civilian
            Q.
4
     complaints were filed against you when you were
5
     employed by the City of New York Police
6
7
     Department?
                  MS. NICAJ: You can answer that.
8
                  I don't presently recall.
            Α.
9
                  Do you remember the substance of
10
            0.
     those civilian complaints that were filed
11
     against you when you were employed by the City
12
     of New York Police Department?
13
                  I don't presently recall.
14
            Α.
                  When you applied for your position
            Q.
15
     with the New Rochelle Police Department, did
16
     you disclose that you had been the subject of
17
     civilian complaints?
18
                  MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
19
20
            answer.
                  Whatever was asked on the
21
            Α.
     application, I answered truthfully and
22
23
     honestly.
                  Do you presently recall the outcome
24
            ٥.
     of any of those civilian complaints that were
25
```

```
A. Alali
1
     lodged against you when you were employed by
2
     the City of New York Police Department?
3.
                  MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
4
5:
            answer.
                  None of those complaints were
6
            Α.
7
     substantiated.
                  I am sorry, could you read back the
8
            0.
9
     answer?
                  (Whereupon, the referred to answer
10
            was read back by the Reporter.)
11
                  Do you remember how many complaints
12
            ٥.
     were not substantiated?
13
                  All of the complaints.
            Α.
14
                  Do you remember how many that was?
15
            Q.
                  I don't presently recall.
16
            Α.
                  Was it more than 5?
17
            0.
18
            Α.
                  I don't presently recall.
19
            Q.
                  Do you recall whether those
20
     complaints related to traffic stops?
            Α.
21
                  Perhaps.
                  MS. NICAJ: Don't guess. If you
22
            don't know, let him know.
23
                  I don't know. That was a long time.
24
            A.
25
     ago.
```

A. Alali 1 2 Do you recall whether any of those Q. 3 complaints involved allegations that you were rude or discourteous to people you had stopped? 4 5 MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can б answer. 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Let me understand your answer. Your answer is you don't recall if it did or it 9 10 didn't? I do not recall if it did or it 11 A. didn't. 12 While you were employed by the 13 Ο. 14 Amtrak police, were you suspended? 15 MS. NICAJ: I am directing him not 16 to answer that. 17 While you were employed by the 18 Amtrak police, were you the subject of any 19 civilian complaints? 20 MS. NICAJ: I direct him not to 21 answer that. While you were employed by the 22 Amtrak police, were your duties modified for 23 24 disciplinary reasons? MS. NICAJ: I direct him not to 25

1		A. Alali
2	answer	that.
3	Q.	Officer Alali, I am correct that
4	it's your po	sition that you are a person of
5	middle easte	rn descent?
6		MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
7	answei	that.
8	A.	Clearly, yes.
9	Q.	You are. Could you explain what is
10	meant by the	term middle eastern descent?
11	A.	A person that is from that region.
12	Q.	Are you from that region?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Can you tell me which particular
15	country you	are from?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	What country is that?
18	A.	Iraq.
19	Q.	Now, earlier didn't you testify
20	that you wer	ce born in Vienna, Austria?
21		MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
22	answe	r.
23	Α.	That is where I was born. That is
24	not where I	am from.
25	Q.	Have you ever lived in Iraq?

A Alali 1 Α. No. 2 Let me see if I understand that. 3 0. You never lived in Iraq but you are from Iraq? 4 Both my parents are from Iraq, 5 6 correct. MS. NICAJ: Hence middle eastern 7 descent. 8 In the term middle earth descent, 9 what other countries besides Iraq would be 10 included? 11 I don't have an atlas in front of Α. 12 me, um, but clearly Iraq is the confines of the 13 middle east. 14 Would a person say of Hebrew 15 Ó. descent be of middle eastern descent? 16 MS. NICAJ: Objection. Objection. 17 18 You can answer. 19 Α. Yes. Is it your contention that no 20 ٥. person of Hebrew descent has ever been employed 21 by the New Rochelle Police Department? 22 MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can 23 24 answer. Say the question again, please. 25 Α.

A. Alali 1 Not to my knowledge. Α. 2 Has there been a hearing as to the Q. 3 second set of charges? 4 No. there has not. 5 I would like to call your attention 0. 6 to the period of time of February through April 7 2007. Can you tell me which tour of duty you 8 were working during that period of time? 9 February 2007 until when? Α. 10 April 2007. ٥. 11 Second tour. 12 Α. What are the hours of the second ٥. 13 tour of duty? 14 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. Α. 15 During that period of time, can you 0. 16 tell me who your immediate supervisors were? 17 The defendants that were named in 18 the lawsuit. 19 Could you by name identify who your ο. 20 immediate supervisors were from February until 21 22 April of 2007? They are still, with the exception 23 of Lieutenant Al Debara, they are still the 24 same set of immediate supervisors. And by the 25

1	A. Alali
2	term immediate, do you mean first line
3	supervisors, second line supervisors?
4	Q. Who were your first line
5	supervisors from February to April 2007?
6	A. The same set of first line
7	supervisors that are presently supervising me
8	today.
9	Q. Who are they?
1.0	A. That would be Sergeant Kyle Wilson,
11	Sergeant Humberto Morrell, Sergeant Edward
12	Austin, Sergeant Matthew Brady. I believe
13	those would be the first line supervisors.
14	Q. Now, can you explain the procedure
15	of supervision, do all of those people
16	supervise you at the same time?
17	MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
18	answer.
19	A. If you are referring to
20	specifically something else as an evaluation,
21	are you referring to supervision
22	MS. NICAJ: If you are not sure
23	about the question.
24	A. Can you break the question down?
25	O Sure. As far as you understanding,

A. Alali 1 do all of the people who you just named 2 supervise you simultaneously? 3 If there they happen to be working, 4 They are not all always working together 5 at the same time. 6 So, are there any occasions that 7 ٥. you're aware of which would have occurred 8 during this period of time February to April 9 2007 where all of the people you mentioned 10 would have been working at the same time? 11 There is no way for me to know if 12 Α. they were working at the same time. 13 So you this is something you 14 couldn't recall? 15 I could not recall if they work at À. 16 They do have days off, and the days the same. 17 off do vary. 18 Was it customary from February to 19 April of 2007 that all of the people who you 20 just identified would be working at the same 21 22 time? Again, I can't tell you scheduling, 23 you know. They have varying schedules. Could 24

25

it be possible, yes. Do I personally recall if

- 1 A. Alali 2 every individual supervisor worked at the same 3 time, no. 4 Ο. Would it be correct to say that 5. given the way this schedule works, that it 6 would be unlikely that all of those supervisors 7 would be working at the same time? 8 MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can 9 answer. 10 Α. Yes. 11 MS. NICAJ: By the way, Mr. Alali, 12 unless I direct you not to do so, over 13 my objection, you can answer. Okay? 14 Α. Okav. 15 You had referenced a term called a second line supervisor, am I correct, did I 16 17 understand you correctly? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. Can you explain what you understand 20 that to mean? 21 Α. It would mean -- that that to me 22 would mean the rank the lieutenant. First line
- Q. As far as you understand, are lieutenants responsible for your direct

being sergeants.

23

```
A. Alali
1
     supervision?
2
                 Can you be more specific by the
           À.
3
     word direct? Immediate, is that --
4
                 That would be a fair
5
     interpretation. Are lieutenants -- let me
6
     rephrase the question. Is it your
7
     understanding that lieutenants would be
8
     responsible for the direct supervision of
9
     police officers?
10
                  Yes, through sergeants.
            Α.
11
                 And could you explain what you mean
12
     by the word "through"?
13
                  Ultimately the lieutenant is
            Α.
14
     supervising police officers sometimes on a
15
     direct basis, sometimes through the first line
16
     supervision which are sergeants; however,
1.7
     lieutenants would be ultimately responsible for
18
     the actions of both sergeants and police
19
     officers and so on and so forth with the
20
21
     hierarchy.
                  Now, referring to that time frame
22
     of February through April, 2007, do you recall
23
     having any direct contact with Lieutenant
24
25
      Debara?
```

A. Alali 1 A. Yes. 2 Could you explain? 3 Q. MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can 4 5 answer. Numerous contacts I have had with 6 Α. Lieutenant Debara. He was a lieutenant on the 7 8 second tour. Were there any circumstances during 9 that period of time where he was your direct 10 11 supervisor? There was periods of time that he 12 Α. would what we call in New Rochelle have a 13 meeting, inspect the memo book, take reports 14 occasionally, and instruct me to do various 15 16 tasks. During that period of time, did he 17 Q. 18 inspect your memo book? I don't presently recall, but, yes, 19 he has inspected my memo book. I don't know if 20 during that period of time he has. 21 Do you recall any specific 22 instances during that period of time whether 23 Lieutenant Debara took direct supervision of 24 you as a police officer? 25

A. Alali 1 There were times that he has taken 2 Α. direct supervision of me. I don't know between 3 which periods. I could think of several 4 examples that he has. 5 Could you explain? Ο. 6 One example comes to mind would be 7 when, I believe it was in 2007 -- and I am sure 8 the federal complaint could refresh my 9 memory -- that he had assigned me to a hospital 10 11 post. Do you recall are there any other 12 Q. instances that you can recall besides that? 13 14 Α. Yes. Could you tell us what those were? 15 Ο. There was a period of time Sure. 16 Α. that he assigned me to do double parking on 17 Main Street, North avenue. He instructed me to 18 work in the capacity of a utility car, watch 19 suicidal prisoners, do jail escorts, and also 20 assigning me to foot post. 21 Do you recall if those assignments 22 occurred between February and April of 2007? 23 They very well could have because 24 he did that frequently. 25

1	A. Alali
2	Q. But do you know whether they did or
3	they didn't?
4	A. Without any type of documentation
5	to refresh my memory, I couldn't be 100 percent
6	certain, but it would be likely that he did.
7	Q. The first thing that you
8	mentioned was let me back up a minute. Do
9	you know whether or not Lieutenant Debara was
10	ever aware that you had filed an EEOC charge?
11	A. I don't presently recall.
12	Q. Do you know whether or not
13	Lieutenant Debara was ever aware that you had
14	filed that first lawsuit?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And what do you believe that to be?
17	MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
18	answer.
19	Q. I will rephrase the question. What
20	is the basis of your belief?
21	A. He told me.
22	Q. When did he do that?
23	A. After the filing of the lawsuit.
24	Q. What did, in words or substance,
25	what did he say to you?

A. Alali 1 In words or substance, that he Α. 2 could not believe that I was filing a lawsuit 3 against him. He thought that it would be on a 4 friendly basis. 5 Isn't it a fact, Officer Alali, ο. 6 that he isn't a defendant in the first lawsuit? 7 MS. NICAJ: Objection. 8 I thought you are referring to the Α. 9 second lawsuit. 10 I am talking about the first 11 ٥. lawsuit. Let me clarify. Do you know whether 12 or not Lieutenant Debara was aware of the first 13 lawsuit that you brought? 14 Α. Yes. 15 What is the basis of your belief? Q. 16 I told him. A. 17 When did you do that? Q. 18 I believe one of the times that we Α. 19 had discussed it was when he assigned me to a 20 fixed hospital post, and I advised him of that 21 I believe what he was doing was harassment, and 22 I also further advised him that a lawsuit had 23 been filed. 24 Can you tell me in words or Q. 25

A. Alali 1 substance what you said to him about that 2 3. lawsuit? MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can 4 answer. 5 I don't presently recall. A. 6 Did he respond to you? 7 Ö. I don't -- a sarcastic Yes. Α. 8 I don't remember exactly what it response. 9 10 was. During the period of February to 11 Q. April 2007, did he assign you to a hospital 12 post on more than one occasion? 13 I believe so, yes. 14 Could you explain what you mean by Q. 15 16 a hospital post? A hospital post is an assignment, 17 when a prisoner needs medical attention, to qo 18 to the hospital and can't come directly back to 19 headquarters after being discharged by a 20 doctor. A police officer has to accommodate 21 that prisoner for obvious reasons. 22 And is it correct that the person Ö. 23 who is assigned to that post has to be a police 24 officer? 25

```
A. Alali
1
                 Yes.
           Α.
2
                 What was the basis for your belief
           Q.
3.
     that you should not have been assigned to that
4
5
     post?
                 MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
6
7
            answer.
                  That post is usually reserved for 2
8
     instances, one of them being for junior
9
     officers. As of today's date, police officer
10
     Kane who is a junior and quite possibly a
11
     probationary officer was on a hospitalized
12
     prisoner or it also -- the second instance is
13
     it has been used for punishment purposes as
14
     well when there is a senior officer that they
15
     are punishing.
16
                  In your opinion, in February to
17
     April of 2007, were you a senior officer?
18
                  MS. NICAJ: Objection. You can
19
            answer.
20
                  I was senior to numerous officers
21
      that were working at that time. I was not the
22
      most senior officer; however, there were junior
23
      officers that were available, and it was
24
      customary to give junior officers that
25
```

1 A. Alali 2 assignment, and coupled with the things that 3 were said during the assignment. Can you tell us who said those 4 0. 5 things during the assignment? Can I take a look at the federal 6 Α. 7 complaint? Q. Sure. 8 9 MS. NICAJ: You didn't mark it? MR. MEISELS: I have not. 10 Α. Prior to looking at it, I know that 11 Lieutenant Debara has made comments, I know 12 that Sergeant Morrell has made comments, and I 13 know Sergeant Austin has made comments. 14 had inquired to why I was being sent on these 15 posts, there was comments made in conjunction 16 and me being send on the post, and the comments 17 that were made, it was clear that I was being 18 19 singled out. 20 Q. What I am going to do is just go one by one. Let's just do the hospital post at 21 22 the moment. What comments were made by you 23 when you were assigned to the hospital post? 24 À. May I look at the federal 25 complaint, please.

1	A. Alali
2	Q. Sure.
3	MS. NICAJ: Let's mark this, then.
4	MR. MEISELS: Sure, I will be glad
5	to. Let's mark this, we're up to, that
6	would be Defendant's Exhibit double B.
7	(Whereupon, the aforementioned
8	complaint was marked as Defendant's
9	Exhibit BB for identification as of this
10	date by the Reporter.)
11	Q. Officer Alali, you can review that
12	and when you are finished, let us know and I
13	will proceed.
14	A. You are specifically talking about
15	the hospital post, Mr. Meisels?
16	Q. The question is what was said to
17	you at the time that you received the hospital
18	post that you just testified to?
19	A. Well, on February 21, 2007,
20	Lieutenant Debara, as I testified to earlier,
21	had assigned me to a hospital post on numerous
22	occasions. That was one of the occasions that
23	he assigned me to the hospital post that I was
24	referring to. On that date, there was junior
25	officers available as well who, you know,

1 A. Alali should have been given the assignment but were 2 3 not. Excuse me. I would prefer that you 4 ٥. not read it. Refresh your recollection and 5 when you are ready to answer the question, you 6 could answer it. 7 MS. NICAJ: I'm going to object to 8 that characterization, and you can go 9 ahead, Mr. Alali. 10 On that date, I just testified to I 11 Α. 12 asked --Do you need to refresh your 13 0. recollection any further with the complaint? 14 Periodically I have to, yes. 15 Α. I will give it back to you when you 16 ask for it. In reference to the one question 17 18 that I asked you if you refreshed your 19 recollection. Give me a moment and I will 20 continue to. 21 MS. NICAJ: Just review that entire 22 portion which relates to the incident, 23 and once you have done reviewing it, let 24 us know, okay? 25